Hybrid Inertial Iterative Method for Fixed point, Variational Inequality and Generalized Mixed Equilibrium Problems in Banach Space

Lawal Umar^{1,*}, Yusuf Ibrahim², M.S. Lawan³

¹Department of Mathematics, Federal College of Education, Zaria Kaduna, Nigeria lawalu4@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, Saadatu Rimi University of Education, Kumbotso Kano, Nigeria danustazz@gmail.com

³Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Kaduna Polytechnic Kaduna, Nigeria mslawankh@yahoo.com

*Correspondence: lawalu4@gmail.com

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduced a hybrid inertial iterative method which converges strongly to a common element of solution of generalized mixed equilibrium, variational inequality and fixed point problems in a two uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space. Our hybrid inertial iterative method, techniques of proof and corollaries improves, extends and generalizes many results in the literature.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let *B* denotes a real Banach space with B^* as the dual space of *B*. We consider $\langle \tau_1, j \rangle$ as the value of the functional $j \in B^*$ at $\tau_1 \in B$ and $\| . \|$ as the norm of *B* or B^* . Let $c \neq \emptyset$ be subset of *B*. A mapping $J : B \longrightarrow 2^{B^*}$ is called normalized duality provided that

$$J\tau_1 = \{\tau_2 \in B^* : \langle \tau_2, \tau_1 \rangle = \|\tau_1\|^2 = \|\tau_2\|^2\}, \forall \tau_1 \in B.$$

We denotes the short form GMEP as generalized mixed equilibrium problem: Find $v_1 \in C$ such that

$$D(v_1, v_2) + \langle Gv_1, v_2 - v_1 \rangle + \vartheta(v_1, v_2) - \vartheta(v_1, v_1) \ge 0, \ \forall v_2 \in C,$$
(1.1)

where $D, \vartheta : C \times C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $G : C \longrightarrow B^*$ denotes the bifunctions and a nonlinear mapping respectively, also \mathbb{R} is consider as the set of all real numbers. Then, Sol(GMEP(1.1)) is consider as the solution set of GMEP.(1.1).

Received: 16 Jan 2024.

Key words and phrases. Hybrid Inertial Iterative Method; Fixed point problem; Variational Inequality problem; Generalized Mixed Equilibrium Problem.

If $G \equiv 0$, GMEP(1.1) reduces to generalized equilibrium problem (with GEP as the short form): Find $v_1 \in C$ such that

$$D(v_1, v_2) + \vartheta(v_1, v_2) - \vartheta(v_1, v_1) \ge 0, \forall v_2 \in C.$$
(1.2)

Then, Sol(GEP(1.2)) is represent the solution set of GEP(1.2).

If $G \equiv 0$ and $\vartheta \equiv 0$, GMEP(1.1) becomes equilibrium problem (with EP as the short form) [3]: Find $v_1 \in C$ such that

$$D(v_1, v_2) \ge 0, \forall v_2 \in C.$$
 (1.3)

Then, Sol(EP(1.3)) is consider as the solution set of EP.(1.3).

If $D \equiv 0$ and $\vartheta \equiv 0$, GMEP(1.1) reduces to variational inequality problem (with VIP as the short form): Find $v_1 \in C$ such that

$$\langle Gv_1, v_2 - v_1 \rangle \ge 0, \forall v_2 \in C.$$

$$(1.4)$$

Then, Sol(VIP(1.4)) is consider as the solution set of VIP(1.4).

Definition 1.1. Let $T : C \longrightarrow C$ be a mapping [6], then

(i) a point $v_1 \in C$ is called fixed point of T provided that $F(T) = \{v_1 \in C : Tv_1 = v_1\} \neq \emptyset$; (ii) a point $v_0 \in C$ is called an asymptotic fixed point of T provided that $\{v_n\} \subset C, v_n \rightharpoonup v_0$ such

(ii) a point $v_0 \in C$ is called an asymptotic fixed point of 7 provided that $\{v_n\} \subset C, v_n \rightharpoonup v_0$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|v_n - Tv_n\| = 0.$$

The set of asymptotic fixed point of T is denoted by $\hat{F}(T)$;

(iii) T is called quasi $-\phi$ -nonexpansive provided that $\phi(v_0, Tv) \leq \phi(v_0, v)$ and $F(T) \neq \emptyset$, $\forall v \in C$, $v_0 \in F(T)$;

(iv) T is called quasi $-\phi$ -asymptotically nonexpansive provided that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and there exists a sequence $\{k_n\} \subset [1, \infty)$ with $k_n \longrightarrow 1$ as $n \to \infty$ such that

$$\phi(v_0, T^n v) \le k_n \phi(v_0, v), \ \forall v \in C, \ v_0 \in F(T), \ n \ge 1$$

Definition 1.2. A function $T : C \longrightarrow B^*$ is said to be [6] :

(i) Monotone if $\langle \tau_1 - \tau_2, T\tau_1 - T\tau_2 \rangle \ge 0$, $\forall \tau_1, \tau_2 \in B$;

(ii) γ -inverse strongly monotone (with *ism* as short form) if $\exists \gamma > 0$ such that

$$\langle \tau_1 - \tau_2, T\tau_1 - T\tau_2 \rangle \geq \gamma \parallel T\tau_1 - T\tau_2 \parallel^2, \ \forall \tau_1, \tau_2 \in B;$$

(iii) Lipschitz continuous if $\exists L > 0$ such that $\parallel T\tau_1 - T\tau_2 \parallel \leq L \parallel \tau_1 - \tau_2 \parallel$, $\forall \tau_1, \tau_2 \in B$. If T is $\gamma - ism$, then it is Lipschitz continuous with $\frac{1}{\gamma}$ as a constant.

Definition 1.3. A mapping $\Pi_C : B \longrightarrow C$ is called generalized projection [6], provided that $\Pi_C \tau_1 = v_0$, for any $\tau_1 \in B$ and v_0 be the solution of $\phi(v_0, \tau_1) = \inf_{v \in C} \phi(v, \tau_1)$.

An inertial-type algorithm is a method for speeding the convergence of the sequence of an algorithm introduced by Polyak [16]. Numerous problems have been approximated by using inertial algorithms (for more details see, [4, 5, 12] and the references therein). Mainge [13] proposed and studied the development of an inertial- type algorithm method as follows:

$$\begin{cases} u_n = \omega_n + \theta_n(\omega_n - \omega_{n-1}), \\ \omega_{n+1} = (1 - \delta_n)u_n + \delta_n T u_n \end{cases}$$

Takahashi and Zembayashi [17] Proposed an iterative process which converges strongly to a common element of solution of equilibrium problem and fixed point problem of relatively nonexpansive mapping. Furthermore, the generalization of the proposed iterative process [17] have been carried out by many researchers (for more details see, [7,8,11,18,20] and the references therein). Kazmi and Ali [10] introduced an iterative algorithm for solving a common solution of *EP*.(1.3). and fixed point problem f quasi- ϕ - asymptotically nonexpansive mapping.

Alansari et al. [1] studied an inertial iterative method for finding a common solution of generalized equilibrium, variational inequality and fixed point problems using the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ generated by the iterative algorithm:

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= x_1, \ z_0 \in C, \ C_0 := C; \\ \mu_n &= x_n + \alpha_n (x_n - x_{n-1}); \\ y_n &= \prod_C J^{-1} (J\mu_n - w_n G\mu_n); \\ u_n &= J^{-1} (\delta_n J z_n + (1 - \delta_n) J T y_n); \\ z_{n+1} &= T_{r_n} u_n; \\ C_n &= \{ u \in C : \phi(u, z_{n+1}) \le \delta_n \phi(u, z_n) + (1 - \delta_n) \phi(u, \mu_n); \\ Q_n &= \langle u \in C : x_n - u, J x_n - J x_0 \rangle \le 0 \}; \\ x_{n+1} &= \prod_{C_n \cap Q_n} x_0, \forall n \ge 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1), \{w_n\} \subset (0, \infty), \{\delta_n\} \subset [0, 1]$ and $\{r_n\} \subset [a, \infty)$, for some a > 0. Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\varpi = \prod_{\Gamma} x_0$.

Farid et al. [6] proposed the following inertial algorithm for approximating a common solution of generalized mixed equilibrium problem, variational inequality problem and fixed point problem for family of quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{0}, x_{1} \in q, \ q_{1} &:= q; \\ \omega_{n} &= x_{n} + \theta_{n}(x_{n} - x_{n-1}); \\ y_{n} &= \prod_{q} J^{-1}(J\omega_{n} - w_{n}Q\omega_{n}); \\ v_{n} &= J^{-1}(\delta_{n,0}J\omega_{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{n,i}JT_{i}\omega_{n}); \\ z_{n} &= J^{-1}(\alpha_{n}Jy_{n} + (1 - \alpha_{n})Jv_{n}); \\ u_{n} &= T_{r_{n}}z_{n}; \\ q_{n} &= \{u \in q : \phi(u, u_{n}) \leq \phi(u, \omega_{n}); \\ Q_{n} &= \langle u \in q : x_{n} - u, Jx_{n} - Jx_{0} \rangle \leq 0 \}; \\ x_{n+1} &= \prod_{q_{n} \cap Q_{n}}x_{0}, \forall n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Consider $\{\delta_{n,i}\}$ and $\{\alpha_n\} \subset [0,1], \{w_n\} \subset (0,\infty), \{\theta_n\} \subset (0,1)$ and $\{r_n\} \subset [a,\infty)$, for some a > 0. It has been proved that $\{x_n\}$ is a strong convergent to $\hat{x} = \prod_{\Omega} x_0$.

Motivated and inspired by the work of Kazmi and Ali [10], Alansari et al. [1] and Farid et al. [6]. We proposed a hybrid inertial iterative algorithm for approximating a common solution of GMEP.(1.1), VIP(1.4) and fixed point problem for a family of two quasi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in two- uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach spaces. Our result extends and improves the results of Kazmi and Ali [10], Alansari et al. [1] and Farid et al. [6], many results in the literature.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $W = \{\tau_1 \in B : || \tau_1 || = 1\}$ be the unit sphere of B. If for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 2]$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|| \tau_1 - \tau_2 || \ge \varepsilon \Longrightarrow \frac{|| \tau_1 + \tau_2 ||}{2} \le 1 - \delta$, $\forall \tau_1, \tau_2 \in W$, then B is called uniformly convex. B is called strictly convex if $\frac{|| \tau_1 + \tau_2 ||}{2} < 1$, $\forall \tau_1, \tau_2 \in W$ and $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$. The space B is called smooth if $\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{|| \tau_1 + t\tau_2 || - || \tau_1 ||}{t}$ exists, $\forall \tau_1, \tau_2 \in W$ and also is said to be uniformly smooth if the limit is attained uniformly, $\forall \tau_1, \tau_2 \in W$.

A function $\phi: B \times B \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\phi(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \parallel \tau_1 \parallel^2 -2\langle \tau_1, J\tau_2 \rangle + \parallel \tau_2 \parallel^2, \ \forall \tau_1, \tau_2 \in B.$$

is consider as Lyapunov functional. From the definition of ϕ , the following properties can be verified [6]:

 $\begin{array}{ll} (L_1) & (\parallel \tau_1 \parallel - \parallel \tau_2 \parallel)^2 \leq \phi(\tau_1, \tau_2) \leq (\parallel \tau_1 \parallel + \parallel \tau_2 \parallel)^2, \ \forall \tau_1, \tau_2 \in B; \\ (L_2) & \phi(\tau_1, J^{-1}(\lambda J \tau_2 + (1 - \lambda) J \tau_3)) \leq \lambda \phi(\tau_1, \tau_2) + (1 - \lambda) \phi(\tau_1, \tau_3), \ \forall \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3 \in B, \\ (L_3) & \phi(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \parallel \tau_1 \parallel \parallel J \tau_1 - J \tau_2 \parallel + \parallel \tau_2 \parallel \parallel \tau_1 - \tau_2 \parallel, \ \forall \tau_1, \tau_2 \in B. \end{array}$

Remark 2.1. Consider B as smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space, then

$$\phi(\tau_1, \tau_2) = 0 \iff \tau_1 = \tau_2, \ \forall \tau_1, \tau_2 \in B.$$

Lemma 2.2. [9] Let $C \neq \emptyset$ be closed convex subset of a stricly convex, reflexive and smooth Banach space *B*. Then, \exists a unique element $\tau_0 \in C$ such that $\phi(\tau_0, \tau_1) = \inf_{v \in C} \phi(v, \tau_1)$, for $\tau_1 \in B$.

Lemma 2.3. [15] Let B be a uniformly convex and smooth Banach space, $C \subset B$ be closed convex and $T : C \longrightarrow C$ be closed and quasi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. Then, F(T) is closed and convex.

Lemma 2.4. [14] Let $C \neq \emptyset$ be closed convex subset of B and $Q : C \longrightarrow B^*$ be monotone and hemicontinuous function. Then VIP(1.4). is closed and convex

Lemma 2.5. [19] Let B be a 2-uniformly convex and smooth Banach space. Then, $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in B$, $\phi(\tau_1, \tau_2) \ge \delta \parallel \tau_1 - \tau_2 \parallel^2$, where $0 < \delta \le 1$ and called two-uniformly convex constant.

Lemma 2.6. [19] Let B be a two-uniformly convex Banach space, then

$$\| \tau_1 - \tau_2 \| \le \frac{2}{\delta} \| J\tau_1 - J\tau_2 \|, \ \forall \tau_1, \tau_2 \in B,$$

where $0 < \delta \leq 1$.

Lemma 2.7. [9] Let E be a smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and let $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$ be sequences in E such that either $\{u_n\}$ or $\{v_n\}$ is bounded. If $\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi(u_n, v_n) = 0$, then $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||u_n - v_n|| = 0$.

Remark 2.8. By considering (L_3), it is observe that the converse of Lemma 2.7 is true, provided that { u_n } and { v_n } are bounded

Lemma 2.9. [2] Let $C \neq \emptyset$ be closed convex subset of a stricly convex, reflexive and smooth Banach space *B*. Then,

$$\phi(v, \Pi_C \tau_1) + \phi(\Pi_C \tau_1, \tau_1) \le (v, \tau_1), \ \forall v \in C, \ \tau_1 \in B.$$

And, so for any $\tau_1 \in B$ and $v \in C$,

$$u = \prod_C \tau_1 \iff \langle v - u, J\tau_1 - Jv \rangle, \ \forall u \in C.$$

Assumption 1: Consider $D : C \times C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as a bifunction satisfies the following assumptions [3]: (D_1) $D(v, v) = 0, \forall v \in C$;

 (D_2) *D* is monotone, 1.e, $D(v, u) + D(u, v) \le 0$, $\forall v, u \in C$;

 (D_3) the mapping $v \mapsto D(v, u)$ is upper hemicontinuity, $\forall u \in C$.

 (D_4) the mapping $u \mapsto D(v, u), u \in C$ is convex and lower semicontinuous.

Assumption 2: Also consider $\vartheta : C \times C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as a bifunction satisfying the following assumptions:

 (ϑ_2) ϑ is convex in the second argument;

 $(\vartheta_3) \vartheta$ is continuous.

Lemma 2.10. [1, 6, 21] Let B a uniformly smooth, strictly convex and reflexive Banach space and $C \subset B$ be closed. Let $G : C \longrightarrow B^*$ be a continuous and monotone mapping, $D : C \times C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying Assumptions 1 and $\vartheta : C \times C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying Assumptions 2. For any given number r > 0 and $\tau_1 \in B$, define a mapping $T_r : B \longrightarrow C$ by

$$T_r(\tau_1) = \{ u \in C : D(u, v) + \langle v - u, Gu \rangle + \frac{1}{r} \langle v - u, Ju - J\tau_1 \rangle + \psi(u, v) - \psi(u, u) \ge 0, \forall y \in C \},$$

 $\forall v \in B.$

The mapping T_r has the following properties:

- (p_1) T_r is single-valued;
- (p₂) T_r is a firmly nonexpansive type mapping, for all $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in B$,

$$\langle T_r \tau_1 - T_r \tau_2, JT_r \tau_1 - JT_r \tau_2 \rangle \leq \langle T_r \tau_1 - T_r \tau_2, J\tau_1 - J\tau_2 \rangle,$$

 $(p_3) F(T_r) = Sol(GMEP(1.1))$ is closed convex set of C;

- (p_4) T_r is quasi- ϕ nonexpansive;
- $(p_5) \phi(v_0, T_r \tau_1) + \phi(T_r \tau_1, \tau_1) \le \phi(v_0, \tau_1), \ \forall v_0 \in F(T_r), \ \tau_1 \in B.$

Furthermore, consider the map $\Phi : B \times B^* \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$\Phi(\tau_1, \tau_1^*) = \parallel \tau_1 \parallel^2 - \langle \tau_1, \tau_1^* \rangle + \parallel \tau_1^* \parallel^2$$

Observe that $\Phi(au_1, au_1^*) = \Phi(au_1, au^{-1} au_1^*)$

Lemma 2.11. [2] Let B be a strictly convex, smooth and reflexive Banach space. Then

$$\Phi(\tau_1, \tau_1^*) + 2\langle J^{-1}\tau_1^* - \tau_1, \tau_2^* \rangle \le \Phi(\tau_1, \tau_1^* + \tau_2^*), \ \forall \tau_1 \in B, \ \tau_1^*, \tau_2^* \in B^*.$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. Let *C* be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a 2–uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space *B* with B^* as the dual space of *B*. Let $Q :\longrightarrow B^*$ be a γ -ism mapping with $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ as a constant. Let $D : C \times C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying Assumption 1, $\vartheta : C \times C \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying Assumption 2 and $G : C \longrightarrow B^*$ be a monotone and continuous mapping. Let $T_i : C \longrightarrow C$ and $S_i : C \longrightarrow C$, for each i = 1, 2, ..., N be two finite family of closed l_i -Lipschitz continuous and uniformly quasi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive mappings such that $\Omega := \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i)\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i)\right) \cap Sol(VIP(1.4)) \cap Sol(GMEP(1.1)) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$

generated by algorithm :

$$x_{0}, x_{1} \in C, \ C_{1} := C,$$

$$\omega_{n} = x_{n} + \alpha_{n}(x_{n} - x_{n-1}),$$

$$v_{n} = \prod_{C} J^{-1} (J\omega_{n} - \beta_{n}Q\omega_{n}),$$

$$y_{n} = J^{-1} (\mu_{n,0}J\omega_{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{n,i}JT_{i}^{n}\omega_{n});$$

$$z_{n} = J^{-1} (\eta_{n,0}Jv_{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n,i}JS_{i}^{n}y_{n}),$$

$$u_{n} = T_{r_{n}}z_{n},$$

$$C_{n+1} = \{u \in C_{n} : \phi(u, u_{n}) \le k_{n}^{2}\phi(u, \omega_{n})\},$$

$$x_{n+1} = \prod_{C_{n+1}}x_{0}, \ \forall n \ge 1,$$
(3.1)

where
$$\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1), \{\mu_{n,i}\} \subset [0, 1] \text{ and } \{\eta_{n,i}\} \subset (0, 1] \text{ satisfying the following conditions:}$$

 $(S_1) \sum_{i=0}^{N} \mu_{n,i} = 1;$
 $(S_2) \sum_{i=0}^{N} \eta_{n,i} = 1;$
 $(S_3) \limsup_{n \to \infty} \eta_{n,0} < 1;$
 $(S_4) \text{ for same } a > 0, r_n \in [a, \infty);$
 $(S_5) \{\beta_n\} \subset (0, \infty) \text{ satisfying the condition } 0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < \frac{\delta^2 \gamma}{2}, \text{ where } 0 < \delta \leq 1.$
Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to ϖ , where $\varpi = \prod_{\Omega} x_0$ is consider as the generalized projection of ϖ onto Ω .

Proof. We consider the proof in the following steps:

Step 1 : We show that C_{n+1} is closed and convex for each $n \ge 1$ and $\{x_n\}$ is well defined. Observe clearly that $C_1 = C$ is closed and convex. Suppose that C_n is closed and convex for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, we know from 3.1 that for any $u \in C_n$,

$$\begin{split} \phi(u, u_n) &\leq k_n^2 \phi(u, \omega_n) \iff (1 - k_n^2) \Big[\parallel u \parallel^2 -2(1 - k_n^2) \langle u, Ju_n \rangle + 2k_n^2 \langle u, J\omega_n - Ju_n \rangle \Big] \\ &\leq k_n^2 \parallel \omega_n \parallel^2 - \parallel u_n \parallel^2 . \end{split}$$

Then, C_{n+1} is closed and convex. Implies that $\prod_{C_{n+1}} x_0$ is well defined $\forall n \ge 1$, also $\{x_n\}$ is well defined. Furthermore since $\Omega \neq \emptyset$, by considering Lemma 2.3, 2.4 and 2.10 we conclude that Ω is closed and convex, and so $\prod_{\Omega} x_0$ is well defined.

Step 2 : we show that $\Omega \subset C_n$, $\forall n \ge 1$. It is Obvious that $\Omega \subset C_1 = C$. Suppose that $\Omega \subset C_n$ for some $n \ge 1$. Let $\hat{x} \in \Omega$, from the definition of ϕ , quasi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive mapping

of S_i and convexity of $\| . \|^2$ we have the following estimate:

$$\begin{split} \phi(\hat{x}, u_{n}) &= \phi(\hat{x}, T_{r_{n}} z_{n}) \\ &\leq \phi(\hat{x}, z_{n}) \end{split}$$
(3.2)
$$&= \phi(\hat{x}, J^{-1}(\eta_{n,0} J v_{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n,i} J S_{i}^{n} y_{n})) \\ &= \|\hat{x}\|^{2} - 2(\langle \hat{x}, \eta_{n,0} J v_{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n,i} J S_{i}^{n} y_{n} \rangle) + \|\eta_{n,0} J v_{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n,i} J S_{i}^{n} y_{n}\|^{2} \\ &\leq \|\hat{x}\|^{2} - 2\eta_{n,0} \langle \hat{x}, J v_{n} \rangle - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n,i} \langle \hat{x}, J S_{i}^{n} y_{n} \rangle + \eta_{n,0} \|J v_{n}\|^{2} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n,i} \|J S_{i}^{n} y_{n}\|^{2} \\ &= \eta_{n,0} (\|\hat{x}\|^{2} - 2\langle \hat{x}, J v_{n} \rangle + \|v_{n}\|^{2}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n,i} (\|\hat{x}\|^{2} - 2\langle \hat{x}, J S_{i}^{n} y_{n} \rangle + \|S_{i}^{n} y_{n}\|^{2}) \\ &= \eta_{n,0} \phi(\hat{x}, v_{n}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n,i} \phi(\hat{x}, S_{i}^{n} y_{n}) \\ &\leq \eta_{n,0} \phi(\hat{x}, v_{n}) + k_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n,i} \phi(\hat{x}, y_{n}) \end{aligned}$$
(3.3)

Similarly, by quasi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive of T_i , definition of ϕ and convexity of $\| \cdot \|^2$, we estimate as follows:

$$\begin{split} \phi(\hat{x}, y_{n}) &= \phi\left(\hat{x}, J^{-1}(\mu_{n,0} J \omega_{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{n,i} J T_{i}^{n} \omega_{n})\right) \\ &= \|\hat{x}\|^{2} - 2(\langle \hat{x}, \mu_{n,0} J \omega_{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{n,i} J T_{i}^{n} \omega_{n}\rangle) + \|\mu_{n,0} J \omega_{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{n,i} J T_{i}^{n} \omega_{n}\|^{2} \\ &\leq \|\hat{x}\|^{2} - 2\mu_{n,0} \langle \hat{x}, J \omega_{n} \rangle - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{n,i} \langle \hat{x}, J T_{i}^{n} \omega_{n} \rangle + \mu_{n,0} \|J \omega_{n}\|^{2} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{n,i} \|J T_{i}^{n} \omega_{n}\|^{2} \\ &= \mu_{n,0} \left(\|\hat{x}\|^{2} - 2\langle \hat{x}, J \omega_{n} \rangle + \|\omega_{n}\|^{2}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{n,i} \left(\|\hat{x}\|^{2} - 2\langle \hat{x}, J T_{i}^{n} \omega_{n} \rangle + \|T_{i}^{n} \omega_{n}\|^{2}\right) \\ &= \mu_{n,0} \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_{n}) + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{n,i} \phi(\hat{x}, T_{i}^{n} \omega_{n}) \\ &\leq \mu_{n,0} \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_{n}) + k_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{n,i} \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_{n}) \\ &\leq k_{n} \mu_{n,0} \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_{n}) + k_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{n,i} \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_{n}) \\ &= k_{n} \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_{n}) \end{split}$$

$$(3.4)$$

It has been observe from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\hat{x}, u_n) &\leq \eta_{n,0}\phi(\hat{x}, v_n) + k_n \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n,i} [k_n \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_n)] \\
&= \eta_{n,0}\phi(\hat{x}, v_n) + k_n^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n,i}\phi(\hat{x}, \omega_n) \\
&\leq k_n^2 \eta_{n,0}\phi(\hat{x}, v_n) + k_n^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n,i}\phi(\hat{x}, \omega_n)
\end{aligned}$$
(3.5)

Also, by Lemma 2.6 and 2.11, we estimate as:

$$\begin{split} \phi(\hat{x}, v_n) &= \phi\left(\hat{x}, \Pi_C J^{-1}(J\omega_n - \beta_n Q\omega_n)\right) \\ &\leq \phi\left(\hat{x}, J^{-1}(J\omega_n - \beta_n Q\omega_n)\right) \\ &= \Phi\left(\hat{x}, J\omega_n - \beta_n Q\omega_n\right) + \beta_n Q\omega_n\right) - 2\langle J^{-1}(J\omega_n - \beta_n Q\omega_n) - \hat{x}, \beta_n Q\omega_n\rangle \\ &\leq \Phi\left(\hat{x}, (J\omega_n - \beta_n Q\omega_n) + \beta_n Q\omega_n\right) - 2\langle J^{-1}(J\omega_n - \beta_n Q\omega_n) - \hat{x}, \beta_n Q\omega_n\rangle \\ &= \Phi(\hat{x}, J\omega_n) - 2\beta_n \langle J^{-1}(J\omega_n - \beta_n Q\omega_n) - \hat{x}, Q\omega_n\rangle \\ &= \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_n) - 2\langle \omega_n - \hat{x}, Q\omega_n \rangle - 2\beta_n \langle J^{-1}(J\omega_n - \beta_n Q\omega_n) - \omega_n, Q\omega_n\rangle \\ &= \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_n) - 2\langle \omega_n - \hat{x}, Q\omega_n - Q\hat{x} \rangle - 2\beta_n \langle J^{-1}(J\omega_n - \beta_n Q\omega_n) - \omega_n, Q\omega_n\rangle \\ &\leq \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_n) - 2\beta_n \gamma \parallel Q\omega_n \parallel^2 + 2\beta_n \parallel J^{-1}(J\omega_n - Q\omega_n) - J^{-1}J\omega_n \parallel \parallel Q\omega_n \parallel^2 \\ &\leq \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_n) - 2\beta_n \gamma \parallel Q\omega_n \parallel^2 + \frac{4\beta_n^2}{\delta^2} \parallel Q\omega_n \parallel^2 \end{split}$$

$$(3.6)$$

if follows by combined with $\beta_n < \frac{\delta^2}{2}$ that

$$\phi(\hat{x}, v_n) \le \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_n) \tag{3.7}$$

Now, putting (3.7) in (3.5) leads to

$$\begin{split} \phi(\hat{x}, u_n) &\leq k_n^2 \eta_{n,0} \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_n) + k_n^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_{n,i} \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_n) \\ &= (\eta_{n,0} + \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_{n,i}) k_n^2 \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_n) \\ &= k_n^2 \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_n), \end{split}$$

which gives

$$\phi(\hat{x}, u_n) \leq k_n^2 \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_n), \qquad (3.8)$$

Therefore $\hat{x} \in C_{n+1}$, implies that $\Omega \subset C_{n+1}$. Hence $\Omega \subset C_n$, $\forall n \ge 1$.

Step 3 : we show that $\{x_n\}$, $\{\omega_n\}$, $\{v_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$, $\{z_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ are bounded and $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy. We consider $x_n = \prod_{C_n} x_0$ and $C_{n+1} \subset C_n$, $\forall n \ge 1$. Then from Lemma 2.9, we observe that

$$\phi(x_n, x_0) \leq \phi(x_{n+1}, x_0)$$

Hence $\{\phi(x_n, x_0)\}$ is non decreasing. Also it has been observe that

$$\phi(x_n, x_0) = \phi(\Pi_{C_n} x_0, x_0) \le \phi(\hat{x}, x_0) - \phi(\hat{x}, x_n) \le \phi(\hat{x}, x_0)$$

which gives that $\{\phi(x_n, x_0)\}$ is bounded and $\{x_n\}$ is also bounded. Therefore, since $\{\phi(x_n, x_0)\}$ non decreasing. $\{\phi(x_n, x_0)\}$ convergent. Taking the advantage of $\{x_n\}$ as a bounded sequence implies that $\{\omega_n\}$, $\{v_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$, $\{z_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ are all bounded. Also by Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(x_m, x_n) &= \phi(x_m, \Pi_{C_n} x_0) \\
&\leq \phi(x_m, x_0) - \phi(x_n, x_0) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \to \infty.
\end{aligned}$$
(3.9)

By Lemma 2.7, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_m - x_n|| = 0$. Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Step 4 : we show that $x_n \longrightarrow \varpi$, $\omega_n \longrightarrow \varpi$, $u_n \longrightarrow \varpi$, $z_n \longrightarrow \varpi$, $y_n \longrightarrow \varpi$

and $v_n \longrightarrow \varpi$ (as $n \to \infty$). Since $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, then by the closedness of *C* and the completeness of *B*, we can assume that there exists $\varpi \in C$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = \varpi. \tag{3.10}$$

Now, setting m = n + 1 in (3.9), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0.$$
 (3.11)

Using Lemma 2.7, we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0.$$
(3.12)

We observe from (3.1) that

$$\parallel \omega_n - x_n \parallel = \parallel \alpha_n (x_n - x_{n-1}) \parallel \leq \parallel x_n - x_{n-1} \parallel$$

Using (3.12), we arrive at

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\omega_n - x_n\| = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

By (3.10) and (3.13), we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \omega_n = \varpi. \tag{3.14}$$

Taking the advantage of Remark 2.8, (3.13) and boundedness of $\{\omega_n\}$, we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(\omega_n, x_n) = 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Also, by (3.12) and (3.13), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_{n+1} - \omega_n\| = 0.$$
 (3.16)

Using Remark 2.8, we present (3.16) as

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_{n+1}, \omega_n) = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

We observe from $x_{n+1} = \prod_{C_{n+1}} x_0 \in C_{n+1} \subset C_n$ and definition of C_n that

 $\phi(x_{n+1}, u_n) \leq k_n^2 \phi(x_{n+1}, \omega_n)$

Using (3.17,) we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\phi(x_{n+1},u_n)=0$$

Applying Lemma 2.7, we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_{n+1} - u_n \| = 0.$$
(3.18)

Taking the advantage of triangular inequality, we present

 $||x_n - u_n|| \le ||x_n - x_{n+1}|| + ||x_{n+1} - u_n||$

By (3.12) and (3.18), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - u_n\| = 0.$$
 (3.19)

It follows from (3.10) and (3.19) that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = \varpi. \tag{3.20}$$

Similarly, by definition of C_n and $x_{n+1} = \prod_{C_{n+1}} x_0 \in C_{n+1} \subset C_n$, we also present that

$$\phi(x_{n+1}, z_n) \leq k_n^2 \phi(x_{n+1}, \omega_n)$$

By applying (3.17,) we arrive at

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\phi(x_{n+1},z_n)=0$$

Using Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_{n+1} - z_n \| = 0.$$
 (3.21)

Taking into account that

$$||x_n - z_n|| \le ||x_n - x_{n+1}|| + ||x_{n+1} - z_n||$$

Using (3.12) and (3.21), we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - z_n \| = 0.$$
 (3.22)

By considering (3.10) and (3.22), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} z_n = \varpi. \tag{3.23}$$

Also from the definition of C_n and $x_{n+1} = \prod_{C_{n+1}} x_0 \in C_{n+1} \subset C_n$, we estimate as

$$\phi(x_{n+1}, y_n) \leq k_n^2 \phi(x_{n+1}, \omega_n)$$

By (3.17,) we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\phi(x_{n+1},y_n)=0.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.7 that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_{n+1} - y_n \| = 0.$$
 (3.24)

By triangular inequality, we obtain

$$||x_n - y_n|| \le ||x_n - x_{n+1}|| + ||x_{n+1} - y_n|$$

Also by (3.12) and (3.24), we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - y_n \| = 0.$$
 (3.25)

Using (3.10) and (3.25), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = \varpi. \tag{3.26}$$

Finally, by considering $x_{n+1} = \prod_{C_{n+1}} x_0 \in C_{n+1} \subset C_n$ and definition of C_n , we present that

 $\phi(x_{n+1}, v_n) \leq k_n^2 \phi(x_{n+1}, \omega_n)$

Applying (3.17,) we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\phi(x_{n+1},v_n)=0$$

By Lemma 2.7, we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_{n+1} - v_n \| = 0.$$
(3.27)

We consider the following estimate using triangular inequality

$$||x_n - v_n|| \le ||x_n - x_{n+1}|| + ||x_{n+1} - v_n||$$

Using (3.12) and (3.27), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - v_n \| = 0.$$
 (3.28)

Using (3.10) and (3.28), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} v_n = \varpi. \tag{3.29}$$

Step 4 : we show that $\| \omega_n - T_i^n \omega_n \| = \| y_n - S_i^n y_n \| = 0$. Now, taking the advantage of J as uniformly continuity on bounded sets, then it follows from (3.16) and (3.24) that

$$\| J\omega_n - Jx_{n+1} \| = \| Jx_{n+1} - Jy_n \| = 0.$$
(3.30)

From (3.1), we observe that

$$\begin{split} \|Jx_{n+1} - Jy_n\| &= \|Jx_{n+1} - \left(\mu_{n,0}J\omega_n + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{n,i}JT_i^n\omega_n\right)\| \\ &= \|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{n,i}Jx_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{n,i}JT_i^n\omega_n + \mu_{n,0}Jx_{n+1} - \mu_{n,0}J\omega_n\| \\ &= \|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{n,i}(Jx_{n+1} - JT_i^n\omega_n) + \mu_{n,0}(Jx_{n+1} - J\omega_n)\| \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{n,i}\|Jx_{n+1} - JT_i^n\omega_n\| - \mu_{n,0}\|J\omega_n - Jx_{n+1}\|. \end{split}$$

this gives

$$\| Jx_{n+1} - JT_{i}^{n}\omega_{n} \| \leq \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{n,i}} \Big[\| Jx_{n+1} - Jy_{n} \| + \mu_{n,0} \| J\omega_{n} - Jx_{n+1} \| \Big].$$

By (3.30), we arrive at

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \| Jx_{n+1} - JT_i^n \omega_n \| = 0.$$

As J^{-1} is uniform norm-to-norm continuous on bounded sets, we present that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_{n+1} - T_i^n \omega_n \| = 0.$$
(3.31)

Taking into account that

$$\parallel \omega_n - T_i^n \omega_n \parallel \leq \parallel \omega_n - x_{n+1} \parallel + \parallel x_{n+1} - T_i^n \omega_n \parallel$$

By (3.16) and (3.31), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| \omega_n - T_i^n \omega_n \| = 0.$$
(3.32)

Similarly, we observe from (3.21), (3.27) and by continuity of J that

$$|| Jx_{n+1} - Jz_n || = || Jx_{n+1} - Jv_n || = 0.$$
(3.33)

Also by (3.1), we observe that

$$\| Jx_{n+1} - Jz_n \| = \| Jx_{n+1} - (\eta_{n,0}Jv_n + \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_{n,i}JS_i^n y_n) \|$$

$$= \| \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_{n,i}Jx_{n+1} - \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_{n,i}JS_i^n y_n + \eta_{n,0}Jx_{n+1} - \eta_{n,0}Jv_n \|$$

$$= \| \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_{n,i}(Jx_{n+1} - JS_i^n y_n) + \eta_{n,0}(Jx_{n+1} - Jv_n) \|$$

$$\ge \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_{n,i} \| Jx_{n+1} - JS_i^n y_n \| - \eta_{n,0} \| Jv_n - Jx_{n+1} \|,$$

this implies

$$\|Jx_{n+1} - JS_i^n y_n\| \le \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^N \eta_{n,i}} \Big[\|Jx_{n+1} - Jz_n\| + \eta_{n,0} \|Jv_n - Jx_{n+1}\| \Big].$$

Also by (3.33), we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \| Jx_{n+1} - JS_i^n y_n \| = 0.$$

Applying J^{-1} as uniform norm-to-norm continuous on bounded sets, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_{n+1} - S_i^n y_n \| = 0.$$
(3.34)

By triangular inequality, we obtain

 $|| y_n - S_i^n y_n || \le || y_n - x_{n+1} || + || x_{n+1} - S_i^n y_n ||$

By (3.24) and (3.34), we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| y_n - S_i^n y_n \| = 0.$$
 (3.35)

Therefore by (3.32) and (3.35), we conclude that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\omega_n - T_i^n \omega_n\| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \|y_n - S_i^n y_n\| = 0.$$

Step 5 : we show that $\varpi \in \Omega$. To show this we claim as follows:

We claim that $\varpi \in \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F(\mathcal{T}_i) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F(S_i) \right)$. By triangular inequality for $i \geq 1$, we have

$$\|T_i^n\omega_n-\varpi\|\leq \|T_i^n\omega_n-\omega_n\|+\|\omega_n-\varpi\|.$$

Using (3.14) and (3.32), we arrive at

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| \mathcal{T}_i^n \omega_n - \varpi \| = 0.$$
(3.36)

By the assumption that for each T_i is uniformly L_i -Lipschitz continuous, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{i}^{n+1}\omega_{n} - T_{i}^{n}\omega_{n}\| &\leq \|T_{i}^{n+1}\omega_{n} - T_{i}^{n+1}\omega_{n+1}\| + \|T_{i}^{n+1}\omega_{n+1} - \omega_{n+1}\| \\ &+ \|\omega_{n+1} - \omega_{n}\| + \|\omega_{n} - T_{i}^{n}\omega_{n}\| \\ &\leq (L_{i} + 1)\|\omega_{n+1} - \omega_{n}\| + \|T_{i}^{n+1}\omega_{n+1} - \omega_{n+1}\| + \|\omega_{n} - T_{i}^{n}\omega_{n}\| \end{aligned}$$

By (3.12) and (3.32,) we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|T_i^{n+1}\omega_n - T_i^n\omega_n\| = 0.$$

Which yields from (3.36) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\mathcal{T}_i^{n+1}\omega_n - \varpi\| = 0, \ \forall i \ge 1$$

Consequently, we get $T_i(T_i^n)\omega_n \longrightarrow \varpi$ (as $n \to \infty$). In view of the closedness of T_i , we arrive at $T_i \varpi = \varpi$, $\forall i \ge 1$. Thus $\varpi \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i)$. Furthermore, following similar argument as above, one can also claim that $\varpi \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(S_i)$. Hence

$$\varpi \in \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} F(T_i) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} F(S_i) \right)$$

Next, we claim that $\varpi \in Sol(VIP(1.4))$. Consider the triangular inequality

$$\| \omega_n - z_n \| \leq \| \omega_n - x_n \| + \| x_n - z_n \|.$$

Using (3.13) and (3.22,) leads to

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\omega_n - z_n\| = 0. \tag{3.37}$$

From the uniform continuity of J on bounded set, we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| J\omega_n - Jz_n \| = 0.$$
(3.38)

Since $\hat{x} \in \Omega$, then it follows from (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and (3.6) that

$$\begin{split} \phi(\hat{x}, z_n) &\leq \eta_{n,0} \Big[\phi(\hat{x}, \omega_n) - 2\beta_n \Big(\gamma - \frac{2\beta_n}{\delta^2} \Big) \| Q\omega_n \|^2 \Big] + k_n \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_{n,i} \Big[k_n \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_n) \Big] \\ &\leq k_n^2 \eta_{n,0} \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_n) + k_n^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_{n,i} \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_n) - 2\beta_n \eta_{n,0} \Big(\gamma - \frac{2\beta_n}{\delta^2} \Big) \| Q\omega_n \|^2 \\ &= k_n^2 \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_n) - 2\beta_n \eta_{n,0} \Big(\gamma - \frac{2\beta_n}{\delta^2} \Big) \| Q\omega_n \|^2, \end{split}$$

implies that

$$2\beta_n\eta_{n,0}\left(\gamma-\frac{2\beta_n}{\delta^2}\right) \parallel Q\omega_n \parallel^2 \leq k_n^2\phi(\hat{x},\omega_n) - \phi(\hat{x},z_n)$$
(3.39)

But

$$\begin{aligned} k_n^2 \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_n) - \phi(\hat{x}, z_n) &= k_n^2 \left[\| \hat{x} \|^2 - 2\langle \hat{x}, J\omega_n \rangle + \| \omega_n \|^2 \right] - \left[\| \hat{x} \|^2 - 2\langle \hat{x}, Jz_n \rangle + \| z_n \|^2 \right] \\ &= (k_n^2 - 1) \| \hat{x} \|^2 - 2(k_n^2 - 1) \langle \hat{x}, Jz_n \rangle - 2k_n^2 \langle \hat{x}, J\omega_n - J\omega_n \rangle \\ &+ k_n^2 \| \omega_n \|^2 - \| z_n \|^2 \\ &= (k_n^2 - 1) \| \hat{x} \|^2 - 2(k_n^2 - 1) \langle \hat{x}, Jz_n \rangle - 2k_n^2 \langle \hat{x}, J\omega_n - Jz_n \rangle \\ &+ (k_n^2 - 1) \| \omega_n \|^2 + \| \omega_n \|^2 - \| z_n \|^2 \\ &\leq |(k_n^2 - 1) \| \hat{x} \|^2| + |2(k_n^2 - 1) \langle \hat{x}, Jz_n \rangle | + |2k_n^2 \langle \hat{x}, J\omega_n - Jz_n \rangle | \\ &+ |(k_n^2 - 1) \| \omega_n \|^2| + || \omega_n \|^2 + \| z_n \|^2| \\ &\leq (k_n^2 - 1) \| \hat{x} \|^2 + 2(k_n^2 - 1) \| \hat{x} \| \| Jz_n \| + 2k_n^2 \| \hat{x} \| \| J\omega_n - Jz_n \| \\ &+ (\| \omega_n - z_n \|) (\| \omega_n \| + \| z_n \|). \end{aligned}$$

Since $k_n \longrightarrow 1$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, then by (3.37) and (3.38,) we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(k_n^2 \phi(\hat{x}, \omega_n) - \phi(\hat{x}, z_n) \right) = 0.$$
(3.40)

Also since $\beta_n \eta_{n,0} \left(\gamma - \frac{2\beta_n}{\delta^2} \right) > 0$, by (3.39) and (3.40), we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| Q \omega_n \| = 0. \tag{3.41}$$

Taking the advantage of Q as $\gamma - ism$ and so $\frac{1}{\gamma}$ -Lipschitz continuous. Therefore, it follows from (3.38) and (3.40) that $\varpi \in Q^{-1}(0)$. Hence, $\varpi \in Sol(VIP(1.4))$.

We also claim that $\varpi \in Sol(GMEP(1.1))$. Consider the triangular inequality

$$|| u_n - z_n || \le || u_n - x_n || + || x_n - z_n ||$$

By (3.19) and (3.22), we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \parallel u_n-z_n\parallel=0.$$

From uniform continuity of J on bounded sets, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| J u_n - J z_n \| = 0.$$
 (3.42)

Since $r_n \ge a$ and by (3.42), we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\| J u_n - J z_n \|}{r_n} = 0.$$
(3.43)

Equation $u_n = T_{r_n} z_n$ implies that

$$H(u_n, v) + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle v - u_n, Ju_n - Jz_n \rangle + \vartheta(v, u_n) - \vartheta(u_n, u_n) \ge 0, \ \forall v \in C.$$

where

$$H(u_n, v) = D(u_n, v) + \langle Gu_n, v - u_n \rangle$$

By applying Assumption (D_2) , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{r_n} \langle v - u_n, Ju_n - Jz_n \rangle \geq -H(u_n, v) - \vartheta(v, u_n) + \vartheta(u_n, u_n)$$
$$\geq H(v, u_n) - \vartheta(v, u_n) + \vartheta(u_n, u_n).$$

Letting $n \longrightarrow \infty$, by Assumption (D_4) and (3.43), we get

$$H(v, \varpi) - \vartheta(v, \varpi) + \vartheta(\varpi, \varpi) \le 0, \ \forall v \in C.$$

For all $s \in (0, 1]$ and $v \in C$, setting $v_s := sv + (1 - s)\omega$. Therefore $v_s \in C$ and then,

$$H(v_s, \varpi) - \vartheta(v_s, \varpi) + \vartheta(\varpi, \varpi) \leq 0.$$

By Assumption $(D_1) - (D_4)$, we estimate as

0

$$= H(v_{s}, v_{s})$$

$$\leq sH(v_{s}, v) + (1 - s)H(v_{s}, \varpi)$$

$$\leq sH(v_{s}, v) + (1 - s)[\vartheta(v_{s}, \varpi) - \vartheta(\varpi, \varpi)]$$

$$\leq sH(v_{s}, v) + (1 - s)[\vartheta(v, \varpi) - \vartheta(\varpi, \varpi)]$$

As s > 0, from Assumption (D_3), we conclude that

$$H(arpi, v) + artheta(v, arpi) - artheta(arpi, arpi) \geq 0, \ \forall v \in C.$$

Hence, $\varpi \in Sol(GMEP(1.1))$.

Step 6 : Finally we show that $\varpi = \prod_{\Omega} x_0$ and so $x_n \longrightarrow \prod_{\Omega} x_0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Putting $x^* = \prod_{\Omega} x_0$, since $x^* \in \Omega \subset C_n$ and $x_n = \prod_{\Omega} x_0$, we have

$$\phi(x_n, x_0) \leq \phi(x^*, x_0), \ \forall n \geq 0.$$

Then

$$\phi(\varpi, x_0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(x_n, x_0) \le \phi(x^*, x_0),$$

implies that $\varpi = x^*$ and since $x^* = \prod_{\Omega} x_0$, then we conclude that $x_n \longrightarrow \varpi = \prod_{\Omega} x_0$, as $n \to \infty$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.2. Let *C* be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a 2–uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space *B* with *B*^{*} as the dual space of *B*. Let *D* : *C* × *C* $\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying Assumption 1, ϑ : *C* × *C* $\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bifunction satisfying Assumption 2 and *G* : *C* \longrightarrow *B*^{*} be a monotone and continuous mapping. Let *T_i* : *C* \longrightarrow *C* and *S_i* : *C* \longrightarrow *C*, for each *i* = 1, 2, ..., *N* be two finite family of closed *I_i*–Lipschitz continuous and uniformly quasi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive mappings such that $\Omega := \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F(T_i) \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F(S_i) \right) \cap OSOI(GMEP(1.1)) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ generated by algorithm :

$$\begin{aligned} x_{0}, x_{1} \in C, \ C_{1} &:= C, \\ \omega_{n} = x_{n} + \alpha_{n}(x_{n} - x_{n-1}), \\ y_{n} = J^{-1}(\mu_{n,0}J\omega_{n} + \sum_{\substack{i=1\\N}}^{N} \mu_{n,i}JT_{i}^{n}\omega_{n}); \\ z_{n} = J^{-1}(\eta_{n,0}J\omega_{n} + \sum_{\substack{i=1\\N}}^{N} \eta_{n,i}JS_{i}^{n}y_{n}), \\ u_{n} = T_{r_{n}}z_{n}, \\ C_{n+1} = \{u \in C_{n} : \phi(u, u_{n}) \leq k_{n}^{2}\phi(u, \omega_{n})\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \prod_{C_{n+1}}x_{0}, \ \forall n \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1), \{\mu_{n,i}\} \subset [0, 1]$ and $\{\eta_{n,i}\} \subset (0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions:

Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to ϖ , where $\varpi = \prod_{\Omega} x_0$ is consider as the generalized projection of ϖ onto Ω .

Corollary 3.3. Let *C* be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a 2–uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space *B* with *B*^{*} as the dual space of *B*. Let *D* : *C* × *C* \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} be a bifunction satisfying Assumption 1 and *G* : *C* \longrightarrow *B*^{*} be a monotone and continuous mapping. Let *T_i* : *C* \longrightarrow *C* and *S_i* : *C* \longrightarrow *C*, for each *i* = 1, 2, ..., *N* be two finite family of closed *l_i*-Lipschitz continuous and uniformly quasi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive mappings such that $\Omega := (\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F(T_i)) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} F(S_i)) \cap \bigcap Sol(GEP(1.2)) \neq \emptyset$. Let {*x_n*} generated by algorithm :

$$\begin{cases} x_{0}, x_{1} \in C, \ C_{1} := C, \\ \omega_{n} = x_{n} + \alpha_{n}(x_{n} - x_{n-1}), \\ y_{n} = J^{-1}(\mu_{n,0}J\omega_{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_{n,i}JT_{i}^{n}\omega_{n}); \\ z_{n} = J^{-1}(\eta_{n,0}J\omega_{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n,i}JS_{i}^{n}y_{n}), \\ u_{n} = T_{r_{n}}z_{n}, \\ C_{n+1} = \{u \in C_{n} : \phi(u, u_{n}) \le k_{n}^{2}\phi(u, \omega_{n})\}, \\ x_{n+1} = \prod_{C_{n+1}}x_{0}, \ \forall n \ge 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0, 1), \ \{\mu_{n,i}\} \subset [0, 1] \text{ and } \{\eta_{n,i}\} \subset (0, 1] \text{ satisfying the following conditions:}$ $(S_1) \sum_{i=0}^{N} \mu_{n,i} = 1;$ $(S_2) \sum_{i=0}^{N} \eta_{n,i} = 1;$ $(S_3) \limsup_{n \to \infty} \eta_{n,0} < 1;$ $(S_4) \text{ for same } a > 0, \ r_n \in [a, \infty).$

Then, $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to ϖ , where $\varpi = \prod_{\Omega} x_0$ is consider as the generalized projection of ϖ onto Ω .

4. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

Let $B = \mathbb{R}$ and C = [0, 1]. Let $Q : C \to C$ be defined by $Qu = 2u \forall u \in C$. Define $\vartheta : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$, $D : C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$, $G : C \to \mathbb{R}$, $Q : C \to \mathbb{R}$, $T_i : C \to C$ and $S_i : C \to C$ by $\vartheta(u, v) = 0$, D(u, v) = (u + v)(v - u), G(u) = u, Q(u) = 2u and $T_i(u) = S_i(u) = \frac{1}{i+1}u$, respectively. Setting $\{\beta_n\} = \{\frac{0.9}{2n}\}$, $r_n = \frac{1}{2}$, $\{\alpha_n\} = 0.9$, $\mu_{0,n} = \frac{1}{2}$, $\sum_{i=1}^N \mu_{n,i} = \frac{1}{2}$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^N \mu_{i,n} = 1$ and $\eta_{0,n} = \frac{1}{3}$, $\sum_{i=1}^N \eta_{n,i} = \frac{2}{3}$ so that $\sum_{i=0}^N \eta_{i,n} = 1$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be generated by the hybrid inertial iterative algorithm (3.1) converges to $x^* = \{0\} \in \Omega$.

Proof. Clearly ϑ and D satisfy assumptions 1 and 2, respectively, and G is continuous and monotone so that $Sol(GMEP(eq1.1)) = \{0\} \neq \emptyset$, $Sol(VIP(eq1.4)) = \{0\} \neq \emptyset$. Obviously Q is $\frac{1}{2} - ism$, and T_i and S_i are two finite families of closed 1-Lipschitz continuous and uniformly quisi- ϕ -asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with $Fix(T_i) = Fix(S_i) = \{0\}$. Thus $\Omega = Sol(GMEP(eq1.1)) \cap Sol(VIP(eq1.4)) \cap Fix(T_i) \cap Fix(S_i) = \{0\} \neq \emptyset$. Hence, the iterative scheme (3.1) becomes the following scheme (4.1) after simplification:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{0}, x_{1} \in C, \ C_{1} &:= C, \\ \omega_{n} &= x_{n} + 0.9(x_{n} - x_{n-1}), \\ y_{n} &= \frac{1}{2}\omega_{n} + \frac{1}{2(n+1)}\omega_{n}, \\ z_{n} &= \frac{1}{3}y_{n} + \frac{2}{3(n+1)}v_{n}, \\ u_{n} &= \frac{2z_{n}}{7}, \\ C_{n+1} &= \left[0, \frac{u_{n}+\omega_{n}}{2}\right], \\ x_{n+1} &= \prod_{C_{n+1}}x_{0}, \ \forall n \geq 1, \\ where, \ for \ \prod_{C} a \ metric \ projection \ onto \ C, \\ v_{n} &= \prod_{C}(\omega_{n} - \beta_{n}Q\omega_{n}) = \begin{cases} 0, \ \omega_{n} - \frac{0.9}{2n}\omega_{n} < 0 \\ 1, \ \omega_{n} - \frac{0.9}{2n}\omega_{n} > 1 \\ \omega_{n} - \frac{0.9}{2n}\omega_{n}, \ otherwise. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.1)$$

Finally, using the software Matlab 7.8.0, we have the following figure which shows that $\{x_n\}$ converges to $\{0\}$ as $n \to \infty$.



FIGURE 1. Convergence of $\{x_n\}$ when $x_0 = 1.0$ and $x_1 = 0.5$

References

- [1] M. Alansari, R. Ali and M. Farid, Strong convergence of an inertial iterative algorithm for variational inequality problem, generalized equilibrium problem and fixed point problem in a Banach space, J. Ineq. Appl. 2020 (2020) 42.
- [2] Y.I. Alber, Metric and generalized projection operators in Banach spaces, In: Properties and Applications, Lect. Note, Pure. Appl. Math. 8(1996), 15–50.
- [3] E. Blum and W. Oettli, From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems, Math. Stud. 63 (1994) 123–145.
- [4] R.I. Bot, E.R. Csetnek and C. Hendrich, Inertial Douglas-Racheord splitting for monotone inclusion problems, Appt. Math. Comp. 256 (2015) 472–487.
- [5] R.I. Bot and E.R. Csetnek, An inertial forward- backward forward primal-dual splitting algorithm for solving monotone inclusions problems, Numer. Algor. 71 (2016) 519–540.
- [6] M. Farid, R. Ali and K.R. Kazmi, Inertial iterative method for a generalized mixed equilibrium, variational inequality and a fixed point problems for a family of quasi- ϕ -nonexpansive mappings, Filomat. 37 (2023), 6133-6150.
- [7] S. Gupta, S. Husain and V.N. Mishra, Variationa inclusion governed by $\alpha\beta H((.,.), (.,.) \text{mixed accretive mapping}$, Filomat, 31 (2017), 6529–6542.
- [8] J. Iqbal, V.N. Mashra W.A. Mir, A.H. Dar, M. Ishiyak and L. Rathour, Generalized resolvent operator involving G(.,.) – Co-monotone mapping for solving generalized variational inclusion problem, Georgian Math. J. 3 (2022), 533–542.
- [9] S. Kamimura and W. Takahashi, Strong convergence of a proximal-type algorithm in a Banach space, SIAM J. Optim. 13 (2002) 938–945.

- [10] K.R. Kazmi and R. Ali, Common solution to an problem and fixed point problem for an asymptotically $quasi-\phi$ -nonexpansive mapping in intermediate sense, RACSAM, 111 (2017) 877–889.
- [11] L. Umar, Y. Ibrahim and T.M. Kabir, Hybrid algorithm for solving fixed point problem and generalized mixed equilibrium problem in Banach spaces, Uzbek Math. J. 66 (2022) 101–118.
- [12] L. Umar, T.M. Kabir and I.U. Haruna, An inertial algorithm of generalized *f* projection for maximal monotone operators and generalized mixed equilibrium problem in Banach spaces, Afr. Sci. Rep. 1 (2022) 32–47.
- [13] P.E. Mainge, Convergence theorem for inertial KM-type algorithms J. Comp. Appl. Math. 219 (2008) 223-236.
- [14] K. Nakajo, Strong convergence for gradient projection method and relatively nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, Appl. Math. Comp. 271 (2017) 251–258.
- [15] X.L. Qin, Y.J. Cho and S.M. Kang, Convergence theorems of common elements for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems in a Banach spaces, J. Comp. Appl. Math. 225 (2009) 20–30.
- [16] B.T. Polyak, Some methods of speeding up the convergence of iteration methods. USSR Comp. Math. Phys. 4 (1964) 1–7.
- [17] W. Takahashi and K. Zembayashi, Strong and weak convergence theorem for equilibrium problem and relatively nonexpansive mappings in Banach space, Nonlinear Anal. TMA. 70 (2009) 45–57.
- [18] Vandana, R. Dubey, Deepmala, L.N. Mishra and V.N. Mishra, Duality relations for a class of a multiobjective faction programming problem involving support functions, Amer. J. Oper. Res. 8 (2018) 293–311.
- [19] H.K. Xu, Inequality in Banach space with application, Nonlinear. Anal. TMA. 16 (1991) 1127- 1138.
- [20] C. Zalinesco, On uniformly convex function, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 95 (1983) 344–374.
- [21] H. Zegeye, A hybrid iterative scheme for equilibrium problems, variational inequality problems and common fixed point problems in Banach spaces, Nonlinear Anal. TMA. 72 (2010) 2136–2146.