

On η -Local Functions in Ideal Topological Spaces

Junvon A. Almocera*, Lezel M. Tutanés

Department of Mathematics, College of Arts and Sciences, Bukidnon State University, Malaybalay City, Bukidnon, Philippines

1901103646@student.buksu.edu.ph, lezeltutanes@buksu.edu.ph

**Correspondence: 1901103646@student.buksu.edu.ph*

ABSTRACT. This study introduces and investigates a new local function called η -local function in ideal topological space (X, τ, I) by using the notion of η -open sets in topological space (X, τ) . The operator $(\cdot)_\eta^* : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ is defined as $(\cdot)_\eta^*(A) = A_\eta^* = \{x \in X : A \cap U \notin I \text{ for every } U \in \eta\text{-}O(x)\}$ for each $A \subseteq X$, where $\eta\text{-}O(x)$ is the set of all η -open subset of X containing x . This study establishes some properties of A_η^* including its relationships to the local function and local function Γ^* in ideal topological space (X, τ, I) . This study also introduces a new type of closure called the η -local closure in ideal topological space (X, τ, I) which is denoted by $Cl_\eta^*(A)$ for each $A \subseteq X$. Furthermore, this study establishes some properties of the η -local closure.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of ideal topological spaces was first studied by Kuratowski [4] and Vaidyanathaswamy [9]. The notion of topological spaces with ideals were investigated by Jankovic [3]. Thereafter, the study of ideal topological spaces attracts the attention of many topologists. Recently, Alomari [1] have introduced and investigated the notion of local function Γ^* in an ideal topological space and showed that Γ^* is equivalent to the δ -local function due to Hatir et al. [2]. In this paper, the researcher defined a new type of local function called the η -local function in ideal topological spaces by using the η -open set of Subbulakshmi [8] and established some of its properties, including its relationship to the local function and local function Γ^* in ideal topological spaces. Subsequently, the η -local closure has been defined, and some of the properties are established.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper (X, τ) and (X, τ, I) denote a topological space and an ideal topological space, respectively. The members of τ are called open sets and their complement are called closed sets. For any subset A of X , the closure and interior of A are denoted by $cl(A)$ and

Received: 4 Jun 2024.

Key words and phrases. Ideal topological space, η -open set; η -local function; η -local closure.

$int(A)$, respectively. A subset A of a space (X, τ) is said to be η -open (resp. η -closed) [8] if $A \subseteq int(cl(int(A))) \cup cl(int(A))$ (resp. $A \supseteq cl(int(cl(A))) \cap int(cl(A))$). The η -closure of A is defined by the intersection of all η -closed sets containing the set A and it is denoted by $\eta-cl(A)$ [8]. A subset A of a space (X, τ) is said to be semi-open [6] if $A \subseteq cl(int(A))$. A subset A of a space (X, τ) is said to be regular-open [7] if $A = int(cl(A))$. The family of all η -open (resp. semi-open, regular open) sets in X is denoted by $\eta-O(X)$ (resp. $SO(X)$, $RO(X)$).

An ideal I [5] on a topological spaces (X, τ) is a nonempty collection of subsets of X , which satisfies (i) $A \in I$ and $B \in I$ implies $A \cup B \in I$; and (ii) $A \in I$ and $B \subseteq A$ implies $B \in I$. Then the triplet (X, τ, I) is called an ideal topological space. If $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is the set of all subsets of X , a set operator $(\cdot)^* : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ called a local function [3,9] of A with respect to τ and I is defined as follows: for $A \subseteq X$, $A^*(I, \tau) = \{x \in X : U \cap A \notin I, \text{ for every } U \in \tau(x)\}$ where $\tau(x) = \{U \in \tau : x \in U\}$. $A^*(I, \tau)$ can simply be written as A^* . For every ideal topological space, there exists a topology $\tau^*(I, \tau)$ or briefly τ^* [3], finer than τ , generated by the $\mathcal{B}(I, \tau) = \{U \setminus J : U \in \tau \text{ and } J \in I\}$, however, $\mathcal{B}(I, \tau)$ is not a topology in general. Additionally, $Cl^*(A) = A \cup A^*$ defines a Kuratowski closure operator [5] for τ^* . A subset A of an ideal topological spaces is τ^* -closed set or $*$ -closed set [3] if $A^* \subseteq A$. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological spaces and A be a subset of X . Then $\Gamma^*(A)(I, \tau) = \{x \in X : A \cap U \notin I, \text{ for every } U \in RO(X)\}$ where $RO(X) = \{U \in RO(X) : x \in U\}$. $\Gamma^*(A)(I, \tau)$ can simply be denoted as $\Gamma^*(A)$ [1].

3. η -LOCAL FUNCTIONS

Definition 1. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space. Then the operator $(\cdot)_\eta^* : \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$ is defined as for $A \subseteq X$, $A_\eta^*(I, \eta-O(X)) = \{x \in X : A \cap U \notin I, \text{ for every } U \in \eta-O(x)\}$ where $\eta-O(x) = \{U \in \eta-O(X) : x \in U\}$ is called the η -local function of A with respect to I and $\eta-O(X)$. $A_\eta^*(I, \eta-O(X))$ can simply be denoted by A_η^* .

Example 1. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological where $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$, and $I = \{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}\}$. Then $\eta-O(X) = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Now, let $A = \{a, b, d\}$. Then by Definition 1, $A_\eta^* = \{a, b, c, d\} = X$.

Theorem 1. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and A, B be subsets of X . Then for any η -local functions, the following properties hold:

- (i) if $A \subseteq B$, then $A_\eta^* \subseteq B_\eta^*$;
- (ii) $(A \cap B)_\eta^* \subseteq A_\eta^* \cap B_\eta^*$; and
- (iii) $A_\eta^* \cup B_\eta^* \subseteq (A \cup B)_\eta^*$;
- (iv) if $A = \emptyset$, then $A_\eta^* = \emptyset$;
- (v) if $A_\eta^* \cap B \notin I$, then $A_\eta^* \cap B \neq \emptyset$;
- (vi) $(A_\eta^*)_\eta^* \subseteq A_\eta^*$;

- (vii) if $A \in I$, then $A_\eta^* = \emptyset$;
 (iix) if $I = \{\emptyset\}$, then $A_\eta^* = \eta-cl(A)$;
 (ix) if $I = \mathcal{P}(X)$, then $A_\eta^* = \emptyset$; and
 (x) $A_\eta^* \subseteq \eta-cl(A)$;

Proof.

- (i) Let $A, B \subseteq X$ and $A \subseteq B$. Suppose $x \notin B_\eta^*$, then there exist $U \in \eta-O(x)$ such that $B \cap U \in I$. Since $A \subseteq B$, $A \cap U \subseteq B \cap U \in I$, by Definition of ideal, $A \cap U \in I$. Hence, $x \notin A_\eta^*$.
- (ii) Let $A, B \subseteq X$. Since $A \cap B \subseteq A$ and $A \cap B \subseteq B$, by Theorem 1 (i), $(A \cap B)_\eta^* \subseteq A_\eta^*$ and $(A \cap B)_\eta^* \subseteq B_\eta^*$, respectively. Hence, $(A \cap B)_\eta^* \subseteq A_\eta^* \cap B_\eta^*$.
- (iii) Let $A, B \subseteq X$. Since $A \subseteq A \cup B$ and $B \subseteq A \cup B$, by Theorem 1 (i), $A_\eta^* \subseteq (A \cup B)_\eta^*$ and $B_\eta^* \subseteq (A \cup B)_\eta^*$, respectively. Hence, $A_\eta^* \cup B_\eta^* \subseteq (A \cup B)_\eta^*$.
- (iv) Let $A = \emptyset$. Suppose $A_\eta^* \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists $x \in A_\eta^*$. It follows that $A \cap U = \emptyset \cap U = \emptyset \notin I$ for every $U \in \eta-O(x)$. Since I is an ideal, $\emptyset \in I$ which is a contradiction.
- (v) Let $A_\eta^* \cap B \notin I$. Suppose $A_\eta^* \cap B = \emptyset$. Note that by definition of ideal, $\emptyset \in I$ for any ideal I . Now, since $A_\eta^* \cap B = \emptyset$ and I is an ideal, $A_\eta^* \cap B = \emptyset \in I$ implies $A_\eta^* \cap B \in I$, a contradiction.
- (vi) Let $x \in (A_\eta^*)_\eta^*$. Then, for every $U \in \eta-O(x)$, $U \cap A_\eta^* \notin I$ and hence, by (ii), $U \cap A_\eta^* \neq \emptyset$. Now, let $y \in U \cap A_\eta^*$. Then, $U \in \eta-O(y)$ and $y \in A_\eta^*$. Hence, we have $U \cap A \notin I$. Note that $U \in \eta-O(x)$ and $U \cap A \notin I$. It follows that $x \in A_\eta^*$. Therefore, $(A_\eta^*)_\eta^* \subseteq A_\eta^*$.
- (vii) Let $A \in I$. Suppose $A_\eta^* \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists an element $x \in A_\eta^*$. Then $A \cap U \notin I$ for every $U \in \eta-O(x)$. Now, Since, $A \cap U \subseteq A \in I$ and I is an ideal, $A \cap U \in I$ which is a contradiction.
- (iix) Let $I = \{\emptyset\}$. Suppose that $A_\eta^* \neq \eta-cl(A)$. Let $\eta-cl(A) \subset A_\eta^*$, then there exists an element $x \in A_\eta^*$ and $x \notin \eta-cl(A)$. It follows that for every $A \subseteq X$, since $x \in A_\eta^*$, $A \cap U \notin I$ for every $U \in \eta-O(x)$. Since $I = \{\emptyset\}$, $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ for every $U \in \eta-O(x)$. Note that $U \in \eta-O(x)$ means $x \in U$ where U is η -open set. Since, $x \notin \eta-cl(A)$, $x \notin \bigcap \{K : K \text{ is } \eta\text{-closed and } A \subseteq K\}$. It follows that $x \notin K$ for some η -closed set K such that $A \subseteq K$. Hence, $x \in K^c$ for some η -open set K^c such that $A \cap K^c = \emptyset$. It implies that there exists an η -open set K^c where $x \in K^c$ and $A \cap K^c = \emptyset$, a contradiction.
- (ix) Let $I = \mathcal{P}(X)$. Note that $A \subseteq X$, then $A \in \mathcal{P}(X)$. Since $I = \mathcal{P}(X)$, $A \in I$. Hence, by Theorem 1 (vii), $A_\eta^* = \emptyset$.
- (x) Let $x \notin \eta-cl(A)$. Then, $x \notin \bigcap \{K : K \text{ is } \eta\text{-closed and } A \subseteq K\}$. It follows that $x \notin K$ for some η -closed set K such that $A \subseteq K$. Hence, $x \in K^c$ for some η -open set K^c such that $A \cap K^c = \emptyset$. It implies that there exists $K^c \in \eta-O(x)$ such that $A \cap K^c = \emptyset$, and by definition of ideal, $\emptyset \in I$ for any ideal I . Hence, $A \cap K^c \in I$ for some $K^c \in \eta-O(x)$. This shows that $x \notin A_\eta^*$. \square

Remark 1. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and A be any subset of X . Then for any η -local functions, the following properties hold:

- (i) The reverse inclusion of Theorem 1 (iii) need not be true in general.
- (ii) Neither $A \subseteq A_\eta^*$ nor $A_\eta^* \subseteq A$ in general.
- (iii) A_η^* is an η -closed set iff $A_\eta^* = \eta\text{-cl}(A_\eta^*)$.

In order to verify Remark 1 (i) and (ii), the following examples are shown.

Example 2.

- (i) Consider the ideal topological space (X, τ, I) , where $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$, and $I = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$. Then the η -open sets are $\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}$, and $\{b, c, d\}$. Now, let $A = \{b\}$ and $B = \{c\}$, then $A \cup B = \{b, c\}$. Then by applying Definition 1, $A_\eta^* = \{b\}$, $B_\eta^* = \{c\}$, and $(A \cup B)_\eta^* = X$. Observe that $(A \cup B)_\eta^* = X$ and $A_\eta^* \cup B_\eta^* = \{b, c\}$. These shows that $(A \cup B)_\eta^* \not\subseteq A_\eta^* \cup B_\eta^*$.
- (ii) Consider the ideal topological space (X, τ, I) , where $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$, and $I = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$. Then the η -open sets are $\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}$, and $\{b, c, d\}$. Let $A, B \subset X$ where, $A = \{a, c, d\}$ and $B = \{a, b\}$. Then by Definition 1, $A_\eta^* = \{a, d\}$ and $B_\eta^* = \{a, b, d\}$. Observe that $A \not\subseteq A_\eta^*$ and $B_\eta^* \not\subseteq B$.

Theorem 2. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and A, B be subsets of X . Then for any η -local functions, the following properties hold:

- (i) $(A \setminus B)_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^* \subseteq A_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^*$;
- (ii) if $B \in I$, then $(A \cup B)_\eta^* = A_\eta^* = (A \setminus B)_\eta^*$;
- (iii) $(A \setminus B)_\eta^* \cup (B \setminus A)_\eta^* \subseteq (A \cup B)_\eta^*$;
- (iv) if $U \subseteq X$, then $U \cap (U \cap A)_\eta^* \subseteq U \cap A_\eta^*$;
- (v) if $U \in I$, then $(A \cap U)_\eta^* = \emptyset$;
- (vi) if A is an η -closed set, then $A_\eta^* \subseteq A$;
- (vii) $(A \cap A_\eta^*)_\eta^* \subseteq A_\eta^*$;
- (viii) if $A \cup B \in I$, then $(A \cup B)_\eta^* = A_\eta^* \cup B_\eta^* = \emptyset$.
- (ix) $A_\eta^* = \eta\text{-cl}(A_\eta^*) \subseteq \eta\text{-cl}(A)$ and A_η^* is an η -closed set; and
- (x) if $A \subseteq A_\eta^*$, then $A_\eta^* = \eta\text{-cl}(A_\eta^*) = \eta\text{-cl}(A)$.

Proof.

- (i) Let $A, B \subseteq X$. Since $A \setminus B \subseteq A$, by Theorem 1 (i), $(A \setminus B)_\eta^* \subseteq A_\eta^*$ implies that $(A \setminus B)_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^* \subseteq A_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^*$.
- (ii) Let $B \in I$. Suppose $x \in (A \cup B)_\eta^*$. Then for every $U \in \eta\text{-O}(x)$, $(A \cup B) \cap U \notin I$. Note that $(A \cap U) \cup (B \cap U) = (A \cup B) \cap U \notin I$ implies that $(A \cap U) \cup (B \cap U) \notin I$. It shows that $A \cap U \notin I$

or $B \cap U \notin I$, or both, and as a result, $x \in A_\eta^*$ or $x \in B_\eta^*$, or both. Hence, $x \in A_\eta^* \cup B_\eta^*$. Now, note that $B \in I$, then by Theorem 1 (vii), $B_\eta^* = \emptyset$. Thus, $x \in A_\eta^* \cup B_\eta^* = A_\eta^* \cup \emptyset = A_\eta^*$. This implies that $x \in A_\eta^*$. Hence, it shows that $(A \cup B)_\eta^* \subseteq A_\eta^*$. In contrast, since $A \subseteq A \cup B$, by Theorem 1 (i), it implies that $A_\eta^* \subseteq (A \cup B)_\eta^*$. Consequently, as a result, $A_\eta^* = (A \cup B)_\eta^*$. Now, suppose that $(A \setminus B)_\eta^* \neq A_\eta^*$. Let $(A \setminus B)_\eta^* \subset A_\eta^*$. Then there exists an element $x \in A_\eta^*$ such that $x \notin (A \setminus B)_\eta^*$. Note that $x \in A_\eta^*$ implies that for every $A \subseteq X$, $A \cap U \notin I$ for every $U \in \eta\text{-}O(x)$. Now, since $x \notin (A \setminus B)_\eta^*$, there exists $U \in \eta\text{-}O(x)$ such that $(A \setminus B) \cap U \in I$. Note that $(A \setminus B) \cap U = (A \cap U) \setminus (B \cap U) \in I$ and $B \cap U \subseteq B \in I$. Now, since I is an ideal, $B \cap U \in I$ and $[(A \cap U) \setminus (B \cap U)] \cup (B \cap U) \in I$, respectively. Again, note that $[(A \cap U) \setminus (B \cap U)] \cup (B \cap U) = (A \cap U) \cup (B \cap U) \in I$. Since $(A \cap U) \subseteq (A \cap U) \cup (B \cap U) \in I$, it implies that $A \cap U \in I$. So, there exists $U \in \eta\text{-}O(x)$ such that $A \cap U \in I$ which is a contradiction.

- (iii) Let $A, B \subseteq X$. Note that $A \setminus B \subseteq A$ and $B \setminus A \subseteq B$. Then by Theorem 1 (i), $(A \setminus B)_\eta^* \subseteq A_\eta^*$ and $(B \setminus A)_\eta^* \subseteq B_\eta^*$, and so, $(A \setminus B)_\eta^* \cup (B \setminus A)_\eta^* \subseteq A_\eta^* \cup B_\eta^*$. Now, by Theorem 1 (iii), $A_\eta^* \cup B_\eta^* \subseteq (A \cup B)_\eta^*$. Hence, $(A \setminus B)_\eta^* \cup (B \setminus A)_\eta^* \subseteq (A \cup B)_\eta^*$.
- (iv) Let $U \subseteq X$. Since $U \cap A \subseteq A$, by Theorem 1 (i), $(U \cap A)_\eta^* \subseteq A_\eta^*$, and hence, $U \cap (U \cap A)_\eta^* \subseteq U \cap A_\eta^*$.
- (v) Let $U \in I$. Since $A \cap U \subseteq U \in I$ and I is an ideal, $A \cap U \in I$. Hence, by Theorem 1 (vii), $(A \cap U)_\eta^* = \emptyset$.
- (vi) Let A be an η -closed set. Then $A = \eta\text{-}cl(A)$. Now, note that by Theorem 1 (x), $A_\eta^* \subseteq \eta\text{-}cl(A)$. Hence, $A_\eta^* \subseteq A$.
- (vii) Let $A \subseteq X$. Since $A \cap A_\eta^* \subseteq A_\eta^*$, by Theorem 1 (i), $(A \cap A_\eta^*)_\eta^* \subseteq (A_\eta^*)_\eta^*$. Note that by Theorem 1 (vi), $(A_\eta^*)_\eta^* \subseteq A_\eta^*$. Therefore, $(A \cap A_\eta^*)_\eta^* \subseteq A_\eta^*$.
- (viii) Let $A \cup B \in I$. Since $A \cup B \in I$ and I is an ideal, $A \in I$ and $B \in I$. These imply by Theorem 1 (vii), $(A \cup B)_\eta^* = \emptyset$, $A_\eta^* = \emptyset$, and $B_\eta^* = \emptyset$. Therefore, $(A \cup B)_\eta^* = A_\eta^* \cup B_\eta^* = \emptyset$.
- (ix) Suppose that $A_\eta^* \neq \eta\text{-}cl(A_\eta^*)$. Let $\eta\text{-}cl(A_\eta^*) \subset A_\eta^*$. Then there exists an element $x \in A_\eta^*$ such that $x \notin \eta\text{-}cl(A_\eta^*)$. Note that since $x \in A_\eta^*$, for every $U \in \eta\text{-}O(x)$, $A \cap U \notin I$. Now, note that $x \notin \eta\text{-}cl(A_\eta^*)$. Then $x \notin \bigcap \{K : K \text{ is } \eta\text{-closed and } A_\eta^* \subseteq K\}$. This shows that $x \notin K$ for some η -closed set K such that $A_\eta^* \subseteq K$. This implies that $x \in K^c$ for some η -open set K^c such that $K^c \cap A_\eta^* = \emptyset$. Note that $x \in K^c$ and $K^c \cap A_\eta^* = \emptyset$, then it follows that $x \notin A_\eta^*$, and so, for some $K^c \in \eta\text{-}O(x)$, $A \cap K^c \in I$, which is a contradiction. Consequently, $A_\eta^* = \eta\text{-}cl(A_\eta^*)$, then by Remark 1 (iii), A_η^* is an η -closed set. Now, note that $A_\eta^* = \eta\text{-}cl(A_\eta^*)$ and by Theorem 1 (x), hence, $A_\eta^* = \eta\text{-}cl(A_\eta^*) \subseteq \eta\text{-}cl(A)$.
- (x) Let $A \subseteq A_\eta^*$. Suppose that $x \in \eta\text{-}cl(A)$. Then $x \in \bigcap \{K : K \text{ is } \eta\text{-closed and } A \subseteq K\}$. This shows that $x \in K$ for every η -closed set K such that $A \subseteq K$. Note that by Theorem 2 (ix), A_η^* is an η -closed set. Now, note that since $A \subseteq A_\eta^*$ and A_η^* is an η -closed set,

$A_\eta^* \in \{K : K \text{ is } \eta\text{-closed and } A \subseteq K\}$. This implies that $x \in A_\eta^*$. Hence, $\eta\text{-cl}(A) \subseteq A_\eta^*$. As a result, by Theorem 1 (x) and 2 (ix), $A_\eta^* = \eta\text{-cl}(A_\eta^*) = \eta\text{-cl}(A)$. \square

Theorem 3. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space where $\eta\text{-}O(X)$ is closed under any two intersections. Then for any A, B subsets of X , the following properties hold:

- (i) $(A \cup B)_\eta^* = A_\eta^* \cup B_\eta^*$;
- (ii) for $U \in \eta\text{-}O(x)$, $U \cap A_\eta^* = U \cap (U \cap A)_\eta^* \subseteq (U \cap A)_\eta^*$; and
- (iii) $A_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^* = (A \setminus B)_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^* \subseteq (A \setminus B)_\eta^*$.

Proof.

- (i) Let $\eta\text{-}O(X)$ be closed under any two intersections. Suppose that $x \notin A_\eta^* \cup B_\eta^*$, then $x \notin A_\eta^*$ and $x \notin B_\eta^*$ implying that there exist $U, V \in \eta\text{-}O(X)$ such that $A \cap U \in I$ and $B \cap V \in I$. Note that $A \cap U \in I$, $B \cap V \in I$, and I is an ideal. Then $(A \cap U) \cup (B \cap V) \in I$. Since $U \cap V \subseteq U$ and $U \cap V \subseteq V$,

$$\begin{aligned} (A \cap U) \cup (B \cap V) &\supseteq [A \cap (U \cap V)] \cup [B \cap (U \cap V)] \\ &= (A \cup B) \cap (U \cap V). \end{aligned}$$

It implies that $(A \cup B) \cap (U \cap V) \subseteq (A \cap U) \cup (B \cap V) \in I$. Again, since I is an ideal, $(A \cup B) \cap (U \cap V) \in I$. Now, note that by assumption, $\eta\text{-}O(X)$ is closed under any two intersections, and so, there exists $U \cap V \in \eta\text{-}O(x)$ such that $(A \cup B) \cap (U \cap V) \in I$. This shows that $x \notin (A \cup B)_\eta^*$. Hence, $(A \cup B)_\eta^* \subseteq A_\eta^* \cup B_\eta^*$. Now, by Theorem 1 (iii), therefore, $(A \cup B)_\eta^* = A_\eta^* \cup B_\eta^*$.

- (ii) Let $\eta\text{-}O(X)$ be closed under any two intersections. For $U \in \eta\text{-}O(X)$, suppose that $x \in U \cap A_\eta^*$. Then $x \in U$ and $x \in A_\eta^*$. To show that $x \in (U \cap A)_\eta^*$, let $V \in \eta\text{-}O(x)$. Since $x \in U$ and $U \in \eta\text{-}O(X)$, we can write it as $U \in \eta\text{-}O(x)$. Hence, by assumption, $U \cap V \in \eta\text{-}O(x)$. Note that since $x \in A_\eta^*$ and $U \cap V \in \eta\text{-}O(x)$, then $A \cap (U \cap V) \notin I$ for every $U \cap V \in \eta\text{-}O(x)$. Now, by associativity and commutativity,

$$\begin{aligned} A \cap (U \cap V) &= (A \cap U) \cap V \notin I \\ &= (U \cap A) \cap V \notin I. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that for every $V \in \eta\text{-}O(x)$, $(U \cap A) \cap V \notin I$. It implies that $x \in (U \cap A)_\eta^*$. Hence, $U \cap A_\eta^* \subseteq (U \cap A)_\eta^*$. Now, note that $U \cap A_\eta^* \subseteq (U \cap A)_\eta^*$, then $U \cap (U \cap A_\eta^*) \subseteq U \cap (U \cap A)_\eta^*$. Since $U \cap (U \cap A_\eta^*)$, by associativity again,

$$\begin{aligned} U \cap (U \cap A_\eta^*) &= (U \cap U) \cap A_\eta^* \\ &= U \cap A_\eta^*. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $U \cap A_\eta^* \subseteq U \cap (U \cap A)_\eta^*$. In contrast, note that $U \cap A \subseteq A$, then by Theorem 1 (i), $(U \cap A)_\eta^* \subseteq A_\eta^*$. Thus, $U \cap (U \cap A)_\eta^* \subseteq U \cap A_\eta^*$. Consequently, as a

result, $U \cap A_\eta^* = U \cap (U \cap A)_\eta^*$. Note that $U \cap (U \cap A)_\eta^* \subseteq (U \cap A)_\eta^*$. This shows that $U \cap A_\eta^* = U \cap (U \cap A)_\eta^* \subseteq (U \cap A)_\eta^*$.

(iii) Let $A, B \subseteq X$. Note that $A = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \cap A)$. Thus $A_\eta^* = [(A \setminus B) \cup (B \cap A)]_\eta^*$. Note that by assumption, $\eta\text{-}O(X)$ is closed under any two intersections, then by Theorem 3 (i),

$$\begin{aligned} A_\eta^* &= [(A \setminus B) \cup (B \cap A)]_\eta^* \\ &= (A \setminus B)_\eta^* \cup (B \cap A)_\eta^*. \end{aligned}$$

So, $A_\eta^* = (A \setminus B)_\eta^* \cup (B \cap A)_\eta^*$. Now, note that $A_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^* = A_\eta^* \cap (B_\eta^*)^c$, and since $A_\eta^* = (A \setminus B)_\eta^* \cup (B \cap A)_\eta^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} A_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^* &= A_\eta^* \cap (B_\eta^*)^c \\ &= [(A \setminus B)_\eta^* \cup (B \cap A)_\eta^*] \cap (B_\eta^*)^c \\ &= [(A \setminus B)_\eta^* \cap (B_\eta^*)^c] \cup [(B \cap A)_\eta^* \cap (B_\eta^*)^c] \\ &= [(A \setminus B)_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^*] \cup [(B \cap A)_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^*]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $A_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^* = [(A \setminus B)_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^*] \cup [(B \cap A)_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^*]$. Note that $B \cap A \subseteq B$, then by Theorem 1 (i), $(B \cap A)_\eta^* \subseteq B_\eta^*$ implies that $(B \cap A)_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^* = \emptyset$. Now, since $A_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^* = [(A \setminus B)_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^*] \cup [(B \cap A)_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^*]$ and $(B \cap A)_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^* = \emptyset$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} A_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^* &= [(A \setminus B)_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^*] \cup [(B \cap A)_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^*] \\ &= [(A \setminus B)_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^*] \cup \emptyset \\ &= (A \setminus B)_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^* \\ &\subseteq (A \setminus B)_\eta^*. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, it shows that $A_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^* = (A \setminus B)_\eta^* \setminus B_\eta^* \subseteq (A \setminus B)_\eta^*$. \square

Theorem 4. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and $A \subseteq X$. Then for any η -local function, the following properties hold:

- (i) $A_\eta^* \subseteq A^*$;
- (ii) $A_\eta^* \subseteq \Gamma^*(A)$; and
- (iii) $A^* \subseteq \Gamma^*(A)$.

Proof.

- (i) Let $x \in A_\eta^*$ and $U \in \tau(x)$. Since every open set is η -open set, $U \in \eta\text{-}O(x)$. Also, since $x \in A_\eta^*$ and $U \in \eta\text{-}O(x)$, $A \cap U \notin I$. Note that $A \cap U \notin I$ and $U \in \tau(x)$. Hence, $A \cap U \notin I$ for every $U \in \tau(x)$, and so, $x \in A^*$. Therefore, $A_\eta^* \subseteq A^*$.
- (ii) Let $x \in A_\eta^*$ and $U \in RO(x)$. Since every regular-open set is η -open set, $U \in \eta\text{-}O(x)$. Also, since $x \in A_\eta^*$ and $U \in \eta\text{-}O(x)$, $A \cap U \notin I$. Hence, $A \cap U \notin I$ for every $U \in RO(x)$, and so, $x \in \Gamma^*(A)$. Therefore, $A_\eta^* \subseteq \Gamma^*(A)$.

(iii) Let $x \in A^*$ and $U \in RO(x)$. Since every regular-open set is open set, $U \in \tau$. Also, since $x \in A^*$ and $U \in \tau$, $A \cap U \notin I$. Hence, $A \cap U \notin I$ for every $U \in RO(x)$, and so, $x \in \Gamma^*(A)$. Therefore, $A^* \subseteq \Gamma^*(A)$. \square

Remark 2. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and $A \subseteq X$. Then for any η -local functions, the following properties hold:

- (i) $A_\eta^* \subseteq A^* \subseteq \Gamma^*(A)$;
- (ii) if $\eta\text{-}O(X) = \tau$, then $A_\eta^* = A^*$; and
- (iii) if $\eta\text{-}O(X) = RO(X)$, then $A_\eta^* = \Gamma^*(A)$

Theorem 5. Let (X, τ) be a topological space with ideals I_1 and I_2 on X and $A \subseteq X$. Then, for any η -local functions, the following properties hold:

- (i) if $I_1 \subseteq I_2$, then $A_\eta^*(I_2, \eta\text{-}O(X)) \subseteq A_\eta^*(I_1, \eta\text{-}O(X))$; and
- (ii) $A_\eta^*((I_1 \cap I_2), \eta\text{-}O(X)) = A_\eta^*(I_1, \eta\text{-}O(X)) \cup A_\eta^*(I_2, \eta\text{-}O(X))$.

Proof.

- (i) Let $I_1 \subseteq I_2$ and $x \in A_\eta^*(I_2, \eta\text{-}O(X))$. Then for every $U \in \eta\text{-}O(x)$, $A \cap U \notin I_2$. Since $I_1 \subseteq I_2$, $A \cap U \notin I_1$ for every $U \in \eta\text{-}O(x)$. Hence, $A_\eta^*(I_2, \eta\text{-}O(X)) \subseteq A_\eta^*(I_1, \eta\text{-}O(X))$.
- (ii) Let I_1 and I_2 be ideals on X . Note that $I_1 \cap I_2 \subseteq I_1$ and $I_1 \cap I_2 \subseteq I_2$. Then by Theorem 5 (i),

$$A_\eta^*(I_1, \eta\text{-}O(X)) \subseteq A_\eta^*((I_1 \cap I_2), \eta\text{-}O(X))$$

and

$$A_\eta^*(I_2, \eta\text{-}O(X)) \subseteq A_\eta^*((I_1 \cap I_2), \eta\text{-}O(X)),$$

and hence,

$$A_\eta^*(I_1, \eta\text{-}O(X)) \cup A_\eta^*(I_2, \eta\text{-}O(X)) \subseteq A_\eta^*((I_1 \cap I_2), \eta\text{-}O(X)).$$

Next, let $x \in A_\eta^*((I_1 \cap I_2), \eta\text{-}O(X))$, then for every $U \in \eta\text{-}O(x)$, $A \cap U \notin I_1 \cap I_2$. This implies that $A \cap U \notin I_1$ or $A \cap U \notin I_2$. This shows that $x \in A_\eta^*(I_1, \eta\text{-}O(X))$ or $x \in A_\eta^*(I_2, \eta\text{-}O(X))$. Hence, $x \in A_\eta^*(I_1, \eta\text{-}O(X)) \cup A_\eta^*(I_2, \eta\text{-}O(X))$, and so,

$$A_\eta^*((I_1 \cap I_2), \eta\text{-}O(X)) \subseteq A_\eta^*(I_1, \eta\text{-}O(X)) \cup A_\eta^*(I_2, \eta\text{-}O(X)).$$

As a result, thus,

$$A_\eta^*((I_1 \cap I_2), \eta\text{-}O(X)) = A_\eta^*(I_1, \eta\text{-}O(X)) \cup A_\eta^*(I_2, \eta\text{-}O(X)). \quad \square$$

4. η -LOCAL CLOSURE

Definition 2. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space. The η -local closure of A denoted by $Cl_{\eta}^*(A)$ is defined by the union of A and the η -local function of A , i.e, $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = A \cup A_{\eta}^*$ for any $A \subseteq X$.

Example 3. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space where $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$, and $I = \{\emptyset, \{b\}\}$. Then the η -open sets of X are $\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}$, and $\{b, c\}$. Let $A = \{a, b\}$, Then by Definition 1 and 2, $A_{\eta}^* = \{a\}$ and $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = \{a, b\} \cup \{a\} = \{a, b\}$, respectively.

Theorem 6. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and $A, B \subseteq X$. Then the following properties hold:

- (i) if $A \subseteq B$, then $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) \subseteq Cl_{\eta}^*(B)$;
- (ii) $Cl_{\eta}^*(A \cap B) \subseteq Cl_{\eta}^*(A) \cap Cl_{\eta}^*(B)$;
- (iii) if A is an η -closed set, then $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = \eta-cl(A)$;
- (iv) if $A \in I$, then $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = A$;
- (v) $Cl_{\eta}^*(A_{\eta}^*) = A_{\eta}^*$;
- (vi) $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = \eta-cl(A)$; and
- (vii) $(Cl_{\eta}^*(A))_{\eta}^* = A_{\eta}^*$.

Proof.

- (i) Let $A, B \subseteq X$ and $A \subseteq B$. By Definition 2, $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = A \cup A_{\eta}^*$ and $Cl_{\eta}^*(B) = B \cup B_{\eta}^*$. Since $A \subseteq B$, by Theorem 1 (i), $A_{\eta}^* \subseteq B_{\eta}^*$. This shows that $A \cup A_{\eta}^* \subseteq B \cup B_{\eta}^*$, and hence, $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) \subseteq Cl_{\eta}^*(B)$.
- (ii) Let $A, B \subseteq X$. Since $A \cap B \subseteq A$ and $A \cap B \subseteq B$, by Theorem 6 (i), $Cl_{\eta}^*(A \cap B) \subseteq Cl_{\eta}^*(A)$ and $Cl_{\eta}^*(A \cap B) \subseteq Cl_{\eta}^*(B)$. Hence, it implies $Cl_{\eta}^*(A \cap B) \subseteq Cl_{\eta}^*(A) \cap Cl_{\eta}^*(B)$.
- (iii) Let A be an η -closed set, then $A = \eta-cl(A)$. Now, suppose that $x \notin A$. It implies that $x \notin \eta-cl(A)$, then $x \notin \bigcap \{K : K \text{ is } \eta\text{-closed and } A \subseteq K\}$. It follows that $x \notin K$ for some η -closed set K such that $A \subseteq K$. Hence, $x \in K^c$ for some η -open set K^c such that $A \cap K^c = \emptyset$. It implies that there exists $K^c \in \eta-O(x)$ such that $A \cap K^c = \emptyset$, and by Definition of ideal, $\emptyset \in I$ for any ideal I . Hence, $A \cap K^c \in I$ for some $K^c \in \eta-O(x)$. This shows that $x \notin A_{\eta}^*$, and hence, $A_{\eta}^* \subseteq A$. It follows that $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = A \cup A_{\eta}^* = A$. Note that $A = \eta-cl(A)$. Therefore, $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = \eta-cl(A)$.
- (iv) Let $A \in I$. Then by Definition 2 and Theorem 1 (vii), $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = A \cup A_{\eta}^* = A \cup \emptyset = A$. Consequently, $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = A$.
- (v) Let $A \subseteq X$. Then by Definition 2 and Theorem 1 (vi), $Cl_{\eta}^*(A_{\eta}^*) = A_{\eta}^* \cup (A_{\eta}^*)_{\eta}^* = A_{\eta}^*$. It follows that, $Cl_{\eta}^*(A_{\eta}^*) = A_{\eta}^*$.

(vi) Let $A \subseteq X$. Suppose that $Cl_\eta^*(A) \neq \eta-cl(A)$. Let $\eta-cl(A) \subset Cl_\eta^*(A)$. Then there exists an element $x \in Cl_\eta^*(A)$ such that $x \notin \eta-cl(A)$. Note that since $x \in Cl_\eta^*(A)$, by Definition 2, $x \in A \cup A_\eta^*$ implies that $x \in A$ or $x \in A_\eta^*$, or both. Suppose $x \in A_\eta^*$. Then for every $U \in \eta-O(x)$, $A \cap U \notin I$. Now, Since $x \notin \eta-cl(A)$, $x \notin \bigcap \{K : K \text{ is } \eta\text{-closed and } A \subseteq K\}$. It follows that $x \notin K$ for some η -closed set K such that $A \subseteq K$. Hence, $x \in K^c$ for some η -open set K^c such that $A \cap K^c = \emptyset$, and by Definition of an ideal, $\emptyset \in I$ for any ideal I . It implies that there exists $K^c \in \eta-O(x)$ such that $A \cap K^c \in I$, and hence, $x \notin A_\eta^*$. Also, note that since $x \in K^c$ and $A \cap K^c = \emptyset$, $x \notin A$. This shows that $x \notin A$ and $x \notin A_\eta^*$, a contradiction.

(vii) Let $A \subseteq X$. Then by Definition 2 and Theorem 1 (iii), $(Cl_\eta^*(A))_\eta^* = (A \cup A_\eta^*)_\eta^* \supseteq A_\eta^* \cup (A_\eta^*)_\eta^*$. Note that by Theorem 1 (vi), $(A_\eta^*)_\eta^* \subseteq A_\eta^*$, then $A_\eta^* \cup (A_\eta^*)_\eta^* = A_\eta^*$. It implies that $A_\eta^* \subseteq (Cl_\eta^*(A))_\eta^*$. Now, let $x \in (Cl_\eta^*(A))_\eta^*$. Then for every $U \in \eta-O(x)$, $Cl_\eta^*(A) \cap U \notin I$. Now, by Definition 2, $Cl_\eta^*(A) \cap U = (A \cup A_\eta^*) \cap U \notin I = (A \cap U) \cup (A_\eta^* \cap U) \notin I$. It implies that $A \cap U \notin I$ or $A_\eta^* \cap U \notin I$, or both, and so, $x \in A_\eta^*$ or $x \in (A_\eta^*)_\eta^*$, or both. It follows that $x \in A_\eta^* \cup (A_\eta^*)_\eta^*$. Note that $A_\eta^* \cup (A_\eta^*)_\eta^* = A_\eta^*$, and so, $x \in A_\eta^*$. Consequently, $(Cl_\eta^*(A))_\eta^* \subseteq A_\eta^*$. Thus, $(Cl_\eta^*(A))_\eta^* = A_\eta^*$. \square

Theorem 7. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and $A, B \subseteq X$. Then the following properties hold:

- (i) $A \subseteq Cl_\eta^*(A)$ and $A_\eta^* \subseteq Cl_\eta^*(A)$;
- (ii) $Cl_\eta^*(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ and $Cl_\eta^*(X) = X$;
- (iii) $Cl_\eta^*(A) \cup Cl_\eta^*(B) \subseteq Cl_\eta^*(A \cup B)$; and
- (iv) $(Cl_\eta^*(A))_\eta^* \subseteq Cl_\eta^*(A) = Cl_\eta^*(Cl_\eta^*(A))$.

Proof.

(i) Let $A \subseteq X$. Note that $A \subseteq A \cup A_\eta^*$. Then by Definition 2, $A \subseteq Cl_\eta^*(A)$. Next, note that $A_\eta^* \subseteq A \cup A_\eta^*$, by Definition 2 again, it implies that $A_\eta^* \subseteq Cl_\eta^*(A)$.

(ii) By Definition 2 and Theorem 1 (iv), $Cl_\eta^*(\emptyset) = \emptyset \cup (\emptyset)_\eta^* = \emptyset \cup \emptyset = \emptyset$. Next, note that X is a universal set, then $(X)_\eta^* \subseteq X$. Hence, by Definition 2, $Cl_\eta^*(X) = X \cup (X)_\eta^* = X$.

(iii) Let $A, B \subseteq X$. By Definition 2 and Theorem 1 (iii),

$$\begin{aligned} Cl_\eta^*(A \cup B) &= (A \cup B) \cup (A \cup B)_\eta^* \\ &\supseteq (A \cup B) \cup (A_\eta^* \cup B_\eta^*) \\ &= (A \cup A_\eta^*) \cup (B \cup B_\eta^*) \\ &= Cl_\eta^*(A) \cup Cl_\eta^*(B). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $Cl_\eta^*(A) \cup Cl_\eta^*(B) \subseteq Cl_\eta^*(A \cup B)$.

(iv) Let $A \subseteq X$. Note that by Theorem 6 (vii), $(Cl_{\eta}^*(A))_{\eta}^* = A_{\eta}^*$, and by Theorem 7 (i), $A_{\eta}^* \subseteq Cl_{\eta}^*(A)$. Hence, it shows that $(Cl_{\eta}^*(A))_{\eta}^* \subseteq Cl_{\eta}^*(A)$. Next, by Definition 2, $Cl_{\eta}^*(Cl_{\eta}^*(A)) = Cl_{\eta}^*(A) \cup (Cl_{\eta}^*(A))_{\eta}^*$. Note that since $(Cl_{\eta}^*(A))_{\eta}^* \subseteq Cl_{\eta}^*(A)$,

$$\begin{aligned} Cl_{\eta}^*(Cl_{\eta}^*(A)) &= Cl_{\eta}^*(A) \cup (Cl_{\eta}^*(A))_{\eta}^* \\ &= Cl_{\eta}^*(A). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that, $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = Cl_{\eta}^*(Cl_{\eta}^*(A))$. □

Remark 3. The reverse inclusion of Theorem 7 (iii) need not be true in general as shown from the following example.

Example 4. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space where $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}\}$, and $I = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then the η -open sets of X are $\emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}$, and $\{b, c, d\}$. Let $A = \{c\}$ and $B = \{d\}$ such that $A \cup B = \{c, d\}$. Then by Definition 1, $A_{\eta}^* = \{c\}$, $B_{\eta}^* = \{d\}$, and $(A \cup B)_{\eta}^* = X$. Now, By Definition 2, $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = \{c\}$, $Cl_{\eta}^*(B) = \{d\}$, and $Cl_{\eta}^*(A \cup B) = X$. Observe that $Cl_{\eta}^*(A \cup B) = X$ and $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) \cup Cl_{\eta}^*(B) = \{c, d\}$. These shows that $Cl_{\eta}^*(A \cup B) \not\subseteq Cl_{\eta}^*(A) \cup Cl_{\eta}^*(B)$. Hence, the above assertion has been verified.

Theorem 8. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and $A \subseteq X$. Then $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) \subseteq Cl^*(A)$.

Proof. Let $A \subseteq X$. By Definition 2 and Kuratowski closure operator, $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = A \cup A_{\eta}^*$ and $Cl^*(A) = A \cup A^*$, respectively. Since by Theorem 4 (i), $A_{\eta}^* \subseteq A^*$, $A \cup A_{\eta}^* \subseteq A \cup A^*$. Hence, $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) \subseteq Cl^*(A)$. □

Theorem 9. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and A be any subset of X . Then A is an η -closed set iff $A = Cl_{\eta}^*(A)$.

Proof. Let A be an η -closed set. Then $A = \eta-cl(A)$ and by Theorem 6 (vi), $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = \eta-cl(A)$, respectively. Note that $A = \eta-cl(A)$ and $\eta-cl(A) = Cl_{\eta}^*(A)$, then by transitive property, it implies that $A = Cl_{\eta}^*(A)$. Now, on the other hand, let $A = Cl_{\eta}^*(A)$. Note that by Theorem 6 (vi), $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = \eta-cl(A)$. Now that $A = Cl_{\eta}^*(A)$ and $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = \eta-cl(A)$, by transitive property again, $A = \eta-cl(A)$. Therefore, A is an η -closed set. □

Note that Theorem 7 (i), (ii), and (iv) satisfy three of the Kuratowski closure axioms. However, Theorem 7 (iii) did not satisfy one of the Kuratowski closure axioms because it is an inclusion property. As a result, the following remark is obtained.

Remark 4. The η -local closure, i.e, Cl_{η}^* , need not be a Kuratowski closure operator with respect to η in general.

Theorem 10. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space where $\eta\text{-}O(X)$ is closed under any two intersections and $A, B \subseteq X$. Then $Cl_{\eta}^*(A \cup B) = Cl_{\eta}^*(A) \cup Cl_{\eta}^*(B)$.

Proof. Let $\eta\text{-}O(X)$ be closed under any two intersections. Then by Definition 2 and Theorem 3 (i), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Cl_{\eta}^*(A \cup B) &= (A \cup B) \cup (A \cup B)_{\eta}^* \\ &= (A \cup B) \cup (A_{\eta}^* \cup B_{\eta}^*) \\ &= (A \cup A_{\eta}^*) \cup (B \cup B_{\eta}^*) \\ &= Cl_{\eta}^*(A) \cup Cl_{\eta}^*(B). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $Cl_{\eta}^*(A \cup B) = Cl_{\eta}^*(A) \cup Cl_{\eta}^*(B)$. □

Note that Theorem 7 (i), (ii), (iv) and Theorem 10 using the condition, for any ideal topological spaces (X, τ, I) where $\eta\text{-}O(X)$ is closed under any two intersections, satisfy the Kuratowski closure axioms. As a result, the following remark is obtained

Remark 5. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space where $\eta\text{-}O(X)$ is closed under any two intersections, the η -local closure, i.e. Cl_{η}^* , is a Kuratowski closure operator (or Almoquera closure operator) with respect to η .

Note that by Remark 5, Cl_{η}^* is a Kuratowski closure operator (or Almoquera closure operator) with respect to η for any ideal topological space (X, τ, I) where $\eta\text{-}O(X)$ is closed under any two intersections. Now, let A be a τ_{η}^* -closed set iff $A_{\eta}^* \subseteq A$ in any ideal topological space (X, τ, I) where $\eta\text{-}O(X)$ is closed under any two intersections. Then the following lemma is obtained.

Lemma 1. Let A be a τ_{η}^* -closed set iff $A_{\eta}^* \subseteq A$ in any ideal topological space (X, τ, I) where $\eta\text{-}O(X)$ is closed under any two intersections. Then A is τ_{η}^* -closed set iff $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = A$.

Proof. Let A be a τ_{η}^* -closed in (X, τ, I) where $\eta\text{-}O(X)$ is closed under any two intersections. Now, since A is a τ_{η}^* -closed, by assumption, $A_{\eta}^* \subseteq A$. It follows that $A \cup A_{\eta}^* = A$. Now, by Definition 2, $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = A \cup A_{\eta}^* = A$. Therefore, $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = A$. On the other hand, let $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = A$. Now, by Definition 2, $Cl_{\eta}^*(A) = A \cup A_{\eta}^* = A$. So, $A \cup A_{\eta}^* = A$ implies $A_{\eta}^* \subseteq A$, and so, by assumption, A is τ_{η}^* -closed. □

Theorem 11. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space where $\eta\text{-}O(X)$ is closed under any two intersections. Let $\tau_{\eta}^* = \{J \subseteq X : Cl_{\eta}^*(J^c) = J^c\}$. Then τ_{η}^* is a topology for X such that $\tau^* \subseteq \tau_{\eta}^*$ and $\eta\text{-}O(X) \subseteq \tau_{\eta}^*$.

Proof. Let $\eta\text{-}O(X)$ be closed under any two intersections. Note that by Remark 5, Cl_{η}^* is a Kuratowski closure operator with respect to η . Therefore, τ_{η}^* is a topology generated by Cl_{η}^* . Now, to show that $\tau^* \subseteq \tau_{\eta}^*$, let A be a τ^* -open. Then A^c is a τ^* -closed. Then by Definition of τ^* -closed,

$(A^c)^* \subseteq A^c$. Hence, $Cl^*(A^c) = A^c \cup (A^c)^* = A^c$ implies that $Cl^*(A^c) = A^c$. Then by Theorem 8, $Cl_\eta^*(A^c) \subseteq A^c$. Now, since $Cl_\eta^*(A^c) \subseteq A^c$, by Theorem 7 (i), $Cl_\eta^*(A^c) = A^c$. Hence, by Lemma 1, A^c is a τ_η^* -closed, and so, A is a τ_η^* -open. As a result, thus, $\tau^* \subseteq \tau_\eta^*$. Next, to show that $\eta-O(X) \subseteq \tau_\eta^*$, let A be an η -open. Then A^c is an η -closed and by Theorem 9, $A^c = Cl_\eta^*(A^c)$. It follows that by Lemma 1, A^c is a τ_η^* -closed implies that A is a τ_η^* -open. Hence, $\eta-O(X) \subseteq \tau_\eta^*$. \square

5. CONCLUSION

The concept of the η -local function and the closure Cl_η^* has been introduced and demonstrated through illustrative examples. Additionally, certain properties have been studied and explored. It can be concluded that the closure Cl_η^* can only be a Kuratowski closure operator (Almocera closure operator) if $\eta-O(X)$ is closed under two intersections. Under this condition, τ_η^* can form a topology, making τ_η^* a more generalized version of τ^* and $\eta-O(X)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Al-Omari, T. Noiri, Local function Γ^* in ideal topological spaces, *Sci. Stud. Res. Ser. Math. Inform.* 26 (1) (2016) 5-16.
- [2] E. Hatir, A. Al-Omari, S. Jafari, δ -local functions and its properties in ideal topological spaces, *Fasciculi Math.* 53 (2014) 53-64.
- [3] D. Jankovic, T.R. Hamlett, New topologies from old via ideals, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 97 (4) (1990) 295-310.
- [4] K. Kuratowski, *Topology I*, Warszawa, 1933.
- [5] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [6] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 70 (1963) 36-41.
- [7] P.L. Powar, K. Rajak, Some new concepts of continuity in generalized topological space, *Int. J. Com. Appl.* 38 (5) (2012) 12-17.
- [8] D. Subbulakshmi, K. Sumathi, K. Indiran, η -open sets in topological, *Int. J. Innov. Techno. Explor. Eng.* 8 (10S) (2019), 276-282.
- [9] R. Vaidyanathaswamy, The localization theory in set-topology, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Sect.* 20 (1944) 51-61.