

C-Class Functions and Fixed Points of Weakly Contractive Mappings in Rectangular b -Metric Spaces

Fakhr-dine Nhari¹, Mohamed Rossafi^{1,*} , Abdelkarim Kari² , Arsalan Hojjat Ansari³ 

¹*Laboratory Analysis, Geometry and Applications, Higher School of Education and Training,
University of Ibn Tofail, Kenitra, Morocco*

nharidoc@gmail.com; rossafimohamed@gmail.com

²*Laboratory of Analysis, Modeling and Simulation Faculty of Sciences Ben M'Sik,
Hassan II University, B.P. 7955 Casablanca, Morocco*

abdkrimkariprofes@gmail.com

³*Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University,
Ga-Rankuwa, Pretoria, Medunsa-0204, South Africa*

mathanalsisamir4@gmail.com

* *Correspondence: rossafimohamed@gmail.com*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce C class-F-generalized weakly contractive mapping and prove the existence and uniqueness of fixed points of such maps in the setting of rectangular b -metric spaces. We provide an example in support of our result.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the Banach contraction principle [3] is a fundamental result in the fixed point theory, several many interesting extensions and generalizations have obtained [10]. The well-known metric spaces have been generalized metric spaces introduced by Branciari [4]. Recently, George, Radenovic, Reshma, and Shukla [7] announced the notion of b -rectangular metric space and formulated some fixed point theorems in the b -rectangular metric space. Many authors initiated and studied many existing fixed point theorems in such spaces [8, 9].

The existence of fixed points of weakly contractive mappings is a generalization of the Banach contraction principle, which was first given by Alber and Delabriere in Hilbert spaces. [1]. Choudhury, Konar, Rhoades and Metiya [6] proved some fixed point results for weakly contractive mappings in complete metric spaces.

Very recently, Cho [5] introduced a special weakly contractive mappings called generalized weakly contractive mappings and proved some fixed point results for such mappings in complete metric spaces.

Received: 19 August 2025.

Key words and phrases. C-class functions, Fixed point, Weakly contractive mapping, Rectangular b -metric space.

In this work, we introduce C class F -generalized weakly contractive mappings and provide some fixed-point results for such mappings in complete b -rectangular metric spaces. We also present some special examples of generalized weakly contractive mappings on b -rectangular metric spaces. Also, we derive some useful corollaries.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In the following we present the required literature that is needed to prove our result.

Definition 2.1. [7] Let X be a nonempty set and $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ be a function such that for all $x, y \in X$ and all distinct points $u, v \in X$. If there exists a real number $s \geq 1$ such that

1. $d(x, y) = 0$ if only if $x = y$;
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$;
3. $d(x, y) \leq s[d(x, u) + d(u, v) + d(v, y)]$ (b -rectangular inequality).

Then d is said to be a rectangular metric on X and (X, d) is called a b -rectangular metric space.

Example 2.2. [8] Let $X = A \cup B$, where $A = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}\}$ and $B = [1, 2]$. Define $d: X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} d(x, y) = d(y, x) \text{ for all } x, y \in X; \\ d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow y = x \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} d\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}\right) = d\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}\right) = d\left(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{7}\right) = 0,05 \\ d\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}\right) = d\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{7}\right) = d\left(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{6}\right) = 0,08 \\ d\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}\right) = d\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}\right) = d\left(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{7}\right) = 0,4 \\ d\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{5}\right) = d\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{6}\right) = d\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{7}\right) = 0,24 \\ d\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{7}\right) = d\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{5}\right) = d\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{6}\right) = 0,15 \\ d(x, y) = (|x - y|)^2 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then (X, d) is a b -rectangular metric space with coefficient $s = 3$.

Lemma 2.3. [13] Let (X, d) be a b -rectangular metric space.

- (a) Suppose that sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X are such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, with $x \neq y$, $x_n \neq x$ and $y_n \neq y$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$\frac{1}{s}d(x, y) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, y_n) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, y_n) \leq sd(x, y).$$

(b) If $y \in X$ and $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X with $x_n \neq x_m$ for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \neq n$, converging to $x \neq y$, then

$$\frac{1}{s}d(x, y) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, y) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, y) \leq sd(x, y),$$

for all $x \in X$.

Lemma 2.4. [8] Let (X, d) be a b -rectangular metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+2}) = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

If $\{x_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence, then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and two sequences $\{m(k)\}$ and $\{n(k)\}$ of positive integers such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \leq s\varepsilon, \\ \varepsilon &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_{n(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}) \leq s\varepsilon, \\ \varepsilon &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}) \leq s\varepsilon, \\ \frac{\varepsilon}{s} &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1}) \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1}) \leq s^2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.5. A function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, where X is a b -rectangular metric space, is called lower semicontinuous if for all $x \in X$ and $x_n \in X$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n = x$, we have

$$f(x) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f(x_n).$$

Definition 2.6. A function $g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, where X is a b -rectangular metric space, is called is a right upper semicontinuous function if for all $x \in X$ and $x_n \in X$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} x_n = x$, we have

$$g(x) \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g(x_n).$$

Definition 2.7. [11] A function $\psi : [0, +\infty[\rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ is said to be an altering distance function if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) ψ is continuous and nondecreasing;
- (b) $\psi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

We denote the set of altering distance functions by Φ .

Definition 2.8. [5] Let X be a complete metric space with metric d , and $T : X \rightarrow X$. Also let $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a lower semicontinuous function. Then T is called a generalized weakly contractive mapping if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\psi(d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty)) \leq \psi(m(x, y, d, T, \varphi)) - \phi(l(x, y, d, T, \varphi)),$$

where

$$m(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = \max\{d(x, y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(y), d(x, Tx) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx), d(y, Ty) + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty), \\ \frac{1}{2}\{d(x, Ty) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Ty) + d(y, Tx) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(y)\}\}$$

and

$$l(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = \max\{d(x, y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(y), d(y, Ty) + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is continuous with $\psi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$ and $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a lower semicontinuous function with $\phi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

Theorem 2.9. [5] *Let X be complete. If T is a generalized weakly contractive mapping, then there exists a unique $z \in X$ such that $z = Tz$ and $\varphi(z) = 0$.*

In 2014 the concept of C -class functions was introduced by H. Ansari in [2].

Definition 2.10. [2] A mapping $F : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called C -class function if it is continuous and satisfies following axioms:

- (1) $F(s, t) \leq s$;
- (2) $F(s, t) = s$ implies that either $s = 0$ or $t = 0$; for all $s, t \in [0, \infty)$.

Note for some F we have that $F(0, 0) = 0$.

We denote C -class functions as \mathcal{C} .

Example 2.11. [2] The following functions $F : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are elements of \mathcal{C} , for all $s, t \in [0, \infty)$:

- (1) $F(s, t) = s - t, F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$;
- (2) $F(s, t) = ms, 0 < m < 1, F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$;
- (3) $F(s, t) = \frac{s}{(1+t)^r}, r \in (0, \infty), F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$ or $t = 0$;
- (4) $F(s, t) = \log(t + a^s)/(1 + t), a > 1, F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$ or $t = 0$;
- (5) $F(s, t) = \ln(1 + a^s)/2, a > e, F(s, 1) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$;
- (6) $F(s, t) = (s + l)^{(1/(1+t)^r)} - l, l > 1, r \in (0, \infty), F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$;
- (7) $F(s, t) = s \log_{t+a} a, a > 1, F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$ or $t = 0$;
- (8) $F(s, t) = s - (\frac{1+s}{2+s})(\frac{t}{1+t}), F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$;
- (9) $F(s, t) = s\beta(s), \beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1)$, and is continuous, $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$;
- (10) $F(s, t) = s - \frac{t}{k+t}, F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$;
- (11) $F(s, t) = s - \varphi(s), F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$, here $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that $\varphi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$;
- (12) $F(s, t) = sh(s, t), F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$, here $h : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that $h(t, s) < 1$ for all $t, s > 0$;
- (13) $F(s, t) = s - (\frac{2+t}{1+t})t, F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow t = 0$.

$$(14) F(s, t) = \sqrt[n]{\ln(1 + s^n)}, F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0.$$

(15) $F(s, t) = \phi(s)$, $F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0$, here $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a upper semicontinuous function such that $\phi(0) = 0$, and $\phi(t) < t$ for $t > 0$,

$$(16) F(s, t) = \frac{s}{(1+s)^r}; r \in (0, \infty), F(s, t) = s \Rightarrow s = 0 ;$$

Definition 2.12. [2] Let Φ_u denote the class of the functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which satisfy the following conditions:

- (a) φ is continuous ;
- (b) $\varphi(t) > 0, t > 0$ and $\varphi(0) \geq 0$.

Definition 2.13. [12] Let X be a complete b -rectangular metric space with metric d and parameter s and $T : X \rightarrow X$. Also let $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a lower semicontinuous function. Then T is called a generalized weakly contractive mapping if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\psi \left(s^2 d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) \right) \leq \psi(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi)) - \phi(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi)),$$

where

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = \max\{d(x, y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(y), d(x, Tx) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx), d(y, Ty) + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, and ψ is an altering distance function and $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a lower semicontinuous function with $\phi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

Theorem 2.14. [12] Let X be a complete b -rectangular metric space with parameter $s \geq 1$. If T is a generalized weakly contractive mapping, then T has a unique fixed point $z \in X$ such that $z = Tz$ and $\varphi(z) = 0$.

Definition 2.15. [12] Let X be a complete b -rectangular metric space with metric d and parameter s , and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Also let $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a lower semicontinuous function. Then T is called a generalized (ψ, φ, ϕ) contractive mapping if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\psi \left(s^2 d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) \right) \leq \phi(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi)), \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = \max\{d(x, y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(y), d(x, Tx) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx), d(y, Ty) + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, and $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is an altering distance function and $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a right upper semi-continuous function with the condition: $\psi(t) > \phi(t)$ for all $t > 0$ and $\phi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

Theorem 2.16. [12] Let X be a complete b -rectangular metric space with parameter $s \geq 1$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. If T is a generalized (ψ, φ, ϕ) contractive mapping then T has a unique fixed point $z \in X$ such that $z = Tz$ and $\varphi(z) = 0$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Inspired by idea of the generalized weakly contractive mapping on metric space introduced by Cho [5], we introduce the notion of C-Class function for weakly contractive mapping on rectangular b -metric space and establish some fixed point on such mapping.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a complete b -rectangular metric space with metric d and parameter s and $T : X \rightarrow X$. Also let $\varphi : X \rightarrow R^+$ be a lower semicontinuous function. Then T is called a C class $-F$ -generalized weakly contractive mapping if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\psi \left(s^2 d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) \right) \leq F(\psi(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi)), \phi(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi))), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = \max\{d(x, y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(y), d(x, Tx) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx), d(y, Ty) + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty)\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, and $\psi \in \Phi, \phi \in \Phi_u, F \in \mathcal{C}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let X be a complete b -rectangular metric space with parameter $s \geq 1$. If T is a C class $-F$ -generalized weakly contractive mapping, then T has a unique fixed point $z \in X$ such that $z = Tz$ and $\varphi(z) = 0$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point in X . Then we define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1} = 0$, then x_{n_0} is a fixed point of T .

Next, we assume that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$.

We claim that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+2}) = 0.$$

Letting $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$ in (3.1) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\psi \left(s^2 d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + \varphi(Tx_{n-1}) + \varphi(Tx_n) \right) \leq F(\psi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n, d, T, \varphi)), \phi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n, d, T, \varphi))), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{n-1}, x_n, d, T, \varphi) &= \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + \varphi(x_n), d(x_n, Tx_n) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(Tx_n)\} \\ &= \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(Tx_{n+1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $M(x_{n-1}, x_n, d, T, \varphi) = d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1})$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + \varphi(Tx_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_{n+1})) &= \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1})) \\ &\leq \psi(s^2 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1})) \\ &\leq F(\psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1})), \\ &\quad \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1}))), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1})) = 0,$$

and so

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1}) = 0.$$

Hence

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0 \text{ and } \varphi(x_n) = \varphi(x_{n+1}) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Thus we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1}) \leq d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$M(x_{n-1}, x_n, d, T, \varphi) = d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (3.4)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1})) &\leq F(\psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n)), \\ &\quad \phi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n))). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

It follows from (3.3) that the sequence $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is nonincreasing. Hence $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1}) \rightarrow r$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ for some $r \geq 0$. Assume $r > 0$ and letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in (3.5) and using the continuity of ψ and the lower semicontinuity of ϕ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(r) &\leq \psi(s^2 r) \leq F(\psi(r), \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1}))) \\ &\leq F(\psi(r), \phi(r)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\psi(r) = 0$, or $\phi(r) = 0$, hence we have $r = 0$ and consequently,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} [d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1})] = 0.$$

So

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(x_{n+1}) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

Now, we shall prove that T has a periodic point. Suppose that it is not the case. Then $x_n \neq x_m$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \neq m$.

In (3.1), letting $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_{n+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi \left(s^2 d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n+1}) + \varphi(Tx_{n-1}) + \varphi(Tx_{n+1}) \right) \\ & \leq F(\psi(M(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, d, T, \varphi)), \phi(M(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, d, T, \varphi))), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & M(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, d, T, \varphi) \\ & = \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_{n+1}), \\ & \quad d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n), d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \varphi(x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_{n+2})\} \\ & = \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+2}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+2})) \leq \psi \left(s^2 d(x_n, x_{n+2}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+2}) \right) \\ & \leq F(\psi(\max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n)\}), \\ & \quad \phi(\max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n)\})). \end{aligned}$$

Take $a_n = d(x_n, x_{n+2}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+2})$ and $b_n = d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1})$.

Then

$$\psi(a_n) \leq F(\psi(\max(a_{n-1}, b_{n-1})), \phi(\max(a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}))) \quad (3.8)$$

$$\leq \psi(\max(a_{n-1}, b_{n-1})). \quad (3.9)$$

Since ψ is increasing, we get

$$a_n \leq \max\{a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}\}.$$

By (3.3), we have

$$b_n \leq b_{n-1} \leq \max\{a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}\},$$

which implies that

$$\max\{a_n, b_n\} \leq \max\{a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}\}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore, the sequence $\max\{a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a nonnegative decreasing sequence of real numbers. Thus there exists $\lambda \geq 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \max\{a_n, b_n\} = \lambda.$$

Assume that $\lambda > 0$. By (3.6), it is obvious that

$$\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \max\{a_n, b_n\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \max\{a_n, b_n\}. \quad (3.10)$$

Taking $\limsup_n \rightarrow +\infty$ in (3.8), using (3.10) and using the properties of ψ and ϕ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\lambda) &= \psi\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} a_n\right) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \psi(a_n) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \psi(\max\{a_n, b_n\}) - \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \phi(\max\{a_n, b_n\}) \\ &\leq F\left(\psi\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \max\{a_n, b_n\}\right), \phi\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \max\{a_n, b_n\}\right)\right) \\ &= F(\psi(\lambda), \phi(\lambda)),\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\psi(r) = 0$ or $\phi(r) = 0$, a contradiction. Thus,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} a_n = 0$$

and hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+2}) = 0.$$

Next, we shall prove that $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence, i.e, $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, x_m) = 0$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose to the contrary. By Lemma 2.4, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for an integer k there exist two sequences $\{n_{(k)}\}$ and $\{m_{(k)}\}$ such that

- i) $\varepsilon \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \inf d(x_{m_{(k)}}, x_{n_{(k)}}) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup d(x_{m_{(k)}}, x_{n_{(k)}}) \leq s\varepsilon$,
- ii) $\varepsilon \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \inf d(x_{n_{(k)}}, x_{m_{(k)}+1}) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup d(x_{n_{(k)}}, x_{m_{(k)}+1}) \leq s\varepsilon$,
- iii) $\varepsilon \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \inf d(x_{m_{(k)}}, x_{n_{(k)}+1}) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup d(x_{m_{(k)}}, x_{n_{(k)}+1}) \leq s\varepsilon$,
- vi) $\frac{\varepsilon}{s} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \inf d(x_{m_{(k)}+1}, x_{n_{(k)}+1}) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup d(x_{m_{(k)}+1}, x_{n_{(k)}+1}) \leq s^2\varepsilon$.

From (3.1) and by setting $x = x_{m_{(k)}}$ and $y = x_{n_{(k)}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}M(x_{m_{(k)}}, x_{n_{(k)}}, d, T, \varphi) &= \max\{d(x_{m_{(k)}}, x_{n_{(k)}}) + \varphi(x_{m_{(k)}}) + \varphi(x_{n_{(k)}}), \\ &\quad d(x_{m_{(k)}}, x_{m_{(k)}+1}) + \varphi(x_{m_{(k)}}) + \varphi(x_{m_{(k)}+1}), d(x_{n_{(k)}}, x_{n_{(k)}+1}) + \varphi(x_{n_{(k)}}) + \varphi(x_{n_{(k)}+1})\}.\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and using (3.6), (3.7) and (iii) of Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} M(x_{m_{(k)}}, x_{n_{(k)}}, d, T, \varphi) \leq s\varepsilon. \quad (3.11)$$

Now letting $x = x_{m_{(k)}}$ and $y = x_{n_{(k)}}$ in (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi\left[s^2 d(x_{m_{(k)}+1}, x_{n_{(k)}+1}) + \varphi(x_{m_{(k)}+1}) + \varphi(x_{n_{(k)}+1})\right] \\ \leq F\left(\psi\left[d(x_{m_{(k)}+1}, x_{n_{(k)}+1}) + \varphi(x_{m_{(k)}+1}) + \varphi(x_{n_{(k)}+1})\right], \phi\left[d(x_{m_{(k)}}, x_{n_{(k)}+1}) + \varphi(x_{m_{(k)}}) + \varphi(x_{n_{(k)}})\right]\right).\end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow +\infty$, using (3.6), (3.7), (3.11), and applying the continuity of ψ and the lower semicontinuity of ϕ , we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \psi\left[s^2 d(x_{m_{(k)}+1}, x_{n_{(k)}+1})\right] \leq F(\psi(s\varepsilon), \phi(s\varepsilon)).$$

Using (3.11) and (iv) of Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\psi(s\varepsilon) = \psi\left(s^2\frac{\varepsilon}{s}\right) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \psi\left[s^2 d\left(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1}\right)\right] \leq F(\psi(s\varepsilon), \phi(s\varepsilon)).$$

This is a contradiction. Thus

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_m, x_n) = 0.$$

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . By completeness of (X, d) , there exists $z \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, z) = 0.$$

Since φ is lower semicontinuous, we get

$$\varphi(z) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(x_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(x_n) = 0,$$

which implies

$$\varphi(z) = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

Now, putting $x = x_n$ and $y = z$ in (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_n, z, d, T, \varphi) &= \max\{d(x_n, z) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(z), \\ &\quad d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1}), d(z, Tz) + \varphi(z) + \varphi(Tz)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and using (3.6), (3.7) and (3.12), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} M(x_n, z, d, T, \varphi) = d(z, Tz) + \varphi(Tz).$$

Since $x_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, from Lemma 2.3, we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{s}d(z, Tz) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup d(Tx_n, Tz) \leq sd(z, Tz).$$

Hence

$$sd(z, Tz) = s^2 \frac{1}{s}d(z, Tz) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup s^2 d(Tx_n, Tz),$$

which implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup [sd(z, Tz) + \varphi(x_{n+1}) + \varphi(Tz)] \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \left[s^2 d(Tx_n, Tz) + \varphi(x_{n+1}) + \varphi(Tz) \right].$$

Then using (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\left[s^2 d(Tx_n, Tz) + \varphi(Tx_n) + \varphi(Tz)\right] &= \psi\left[s^2 d(x_{n+1}, Tz) + \varphi(x_{n+1}) + \varphi(Tz)\right] \\ &\leq F(\psi[M(x_n, z, d, T, \varphi)], \phi[M(x_n, z, d, T, \varphi)]). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and using the continuity of ψ and the lower semicontinuity of ϕ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\left[\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup (sd(z, Tz) + \varphi(x_{n+1}) + \varphi(Tz))\right] \\ \leq \psi\left[\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup (s^2 d(Tx_n, Tz) + \varphi(x_{n+1}) + \varphi(Tz))\right] \\ \leq F\left(\psi\left[\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup M(x_n, z, d, T, \varphi)\right], \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \phi[M(x_n, z, d, T, \varphi)]\right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\psi [sd(z, Tz) + \varphi(Tz)] \leq F(\psi [d(z, Tz) + \varphi(Tz)], \phi [d(z, Tz) + \varphi(Tz)]).$$

This holds if and only if $\psi (d(z, Tz) + \varphi(Tz)) = 0$ or $\phi (d(z, Tz) + \varphi(Tz)) = 0$ and from the property of ψ, ϕ , we have

$$d(z, Tz) + \varphi(Tz) = 0.$$

Hence $d(z, Tz) = 0$ and so $z = Tz$ and $\varphi(Tz) = 0$. It is a contradiction to the assumption: that T does not have a periodic point. Thus T has a periodic point, say, z of period n . Suppose that the set of fixed points of T is empty. Then we have

$$q > 0 \text{ and } d(z, Tz) > 0.$$

Since T has a periodic point, $z = T^n z$. Letting $x = T^{n-1}z$ and $y = T^n z$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M(T^n z, T^{n-1}z, d, T, \varphi) &= \max\{d(T^{n-1}z, T^n z) + \varphi(T^{n-1}z) + \varphi(T^n z), \\ &d(T^{n-1}z, T^n z) + \varphi(T^{n-1}z) + \varphi(T^n z), d(T^n z, TT^n z) + \varphi(T^n z) + \varphi(TT^n z)\}. \end{aligned}$$

By a similar method to (3.4), we conclude that

$$M(T^n z, T^{n-1}z, d, T, \varphi) = d(T^{n-1}z, T^n z) + \varphi(T^{n-1}z) + \varphi(T^n z).$$

From (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi [s^2 d(z, Tz) + \varphi(T^n z) + \varphi(T^{n+1}z)] &= \psi [s^2 d(T^n z, T^{n+1}z) + \varphi(T^n z) + \varphi(T^{n+1}z)] \\ &\leq F(\psi [d(T^{n-1}z, T^n z) + \varphi(T^{n-1}z) + \varphi(T^n z)] \\ &\quad , \phi [d(T^{n-1}z, T^n z) + \varphi(T^{n-1}z) + \varphi(T^n z)]) \\ &\leq \psi [s^2 d(T^{n-1}z, T^n z) + \varphi(T^{n-1}z) + \varphi(T^n z)] \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\leq F(\psi [d(z, Tz) + \varphi(z) + \varphi(Tz)] \\ &\quad , \phi [d(z, Tz) + \varphi(z) + \varphi(Tz)]) \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and applying the continuity of ψ and the lower semicontinuity of ϕ , we have

$$\psi [s^2 d(z, Tz)] \leq F(\psi [d(z, Tz)], \phi [d(z, Tz)]).$$

Hence $d(z, Tz) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus the set of fixed points of T is non-empty, that is, T has at least one fixed point.

Suppose that $z, u \in X$ are two fixed points of T such that $u \neq z$. Then $Tz = z$ and $Tu = u$.

Letting $x = z$ and $y = u$ in (3.1), we have

$$\psi (s^2 d(Tz, Tu) + \varphi(Tz) + \varphi(Tu)) = \psi (s^2 d(z, u)) \leq F(\psi (M(z, u, d, T, \varphi)), \phi (M(z, u, d, T, \varphi))),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(z, u, d, T, \varphi) &= \max\{d(z, u) + \varphi(z) + \varphi(u), d(z, Tz) + \varphi(z) + \varphi(Tz), d(u, Tu) + \varphi(u) + \varphi(Tu)\} \\ &= d(z, u). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\psi\left(s^2d(z, u)\right) \leq F(\psi(d(z, u)), \phi(d(z, u))).$$

This holds if $\phi(d(z, u)) = 0$ and so we have $d(z, u) = 0$. Hence $z = u$ and T has a unique fixed point. \square

Corollary 3.3. *Let (X, d) be a complete b -rectangular metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exists $k \in]0, 1[$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,*

$$\begin{aligned} s^2d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) &\leq \\ k \max\{d(x, y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(y), d(x, Tx) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx), d(y, Ty) + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a lower semicontinuous function. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. It suffices to take Take $\psi(t) = t$ and $F(s, t) = ks$ in Theorem 3.2. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let (X, d) be a complete b -rectangular metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exists $\alpha \in]0, \frac{1}{2}[$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,*

$$s^2d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) \leq \alpha [(d(Tx, x) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx) + d + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty) + (Ty, y))], \quad (3.13)$$

where $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a lower semicontinuous function. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $k = 2\alpha$. Then $k \in]0, 1[$. Also, if (3.13) holds, then

$$\begin{aligned} s^2d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) &\leq \alpha [d(Tx, x) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx) + d + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty) + (Ty, y)] \\ &= k \frac{[d(Tx, x) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx) + d + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty) + (Ty, y)]}{2} \\ &\leq k \max\{d(x, Tx) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx), d(y, Ty) + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty)\} \\ &\leq k \max\{d(x, y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(y), d(x, Tx) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx), d(y, Ty) + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it suffices to apply Corollary 3.3. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let (X, d) be a complete b -rectangular metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that there exists $\lambda \in]0, \frac{1}{3}[$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,*

$$\begin{aligned} s^2d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) &\leq \\ \lambda [d(x, y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(y) + d(Tx, x) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty) + d(Ty, y)], \quad (3.14) \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a lower semicontinuous function. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Let $k = 3\lambda$. Then $k \in]0, 1[$. Also, if (3.14) holds, then

$$\begin{aligned} & s^2 d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) \\ & \leq \lambda [d(x, y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(y) + d(Tx, x) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty) + d(Ty, y)] \\ & = k \frac{[d(x, y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(y) + d(Tx, x) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty) + d(Ty, y)]}{3} \\ & \leq k \max\{d(x, y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(y), d(x, Tx) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx), d(y, Ty) + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it suffices to apply Corollary 3.3. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let $d(X, d)$ be a complete b -rectangular metric space with parameter $s > 1$ and T be a self mapping on X . If there exists $k \in]0, 1[$ such that for all $x, y \in X$,*

$$s^2 d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) \leq k [\beta_1 (d(x, y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)) + \beta_2 (d(Tx, x) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx)) + \beta_3 (d(Ty, y) + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty))],$$

where $\beta_i \geq 0$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\sum_{i=0}^{i=3} \beta_i \leq 1$, φ is a lower semicontinuous function. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Take $\psi(t) = t$ and $F(s, t) = ks$. Then it suffices to apply Corollary 3.3. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let (X, d) be a complete b -rectangular metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that for all $x, y \in X$,*

$$\psi \left(s^2 d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) \right) \leq \psi(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi)) \log_{a+\phi(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi))} a, \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = \max\{d(x, y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(y), d(x, Tx) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx), d(y, Ty) + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty)\}$$

and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a lower semicontinuous function also $\psi, \varphi \in \Phi$, $a > 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. It suffices to take $F(s, t) = s \log_{t+a} a$, $a > 1$, in Theorem 3.2. \square

Corollary 3.8. *Let (X, d) be a complete b -rectangular metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. Suppose that for all $x, y \in X$,*

$$\psi \left(s^2 d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) \right) \leq \frac{\psi(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi))}{1 + \phi(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi))}, \quad (3.16)$$

where

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = \max\{d(x, y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(y), d(x, Tx) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx), d(y, Ty) + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty)\}$$

and $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a lower semicontinuous function also $\psi \in \Phi, \phi \in \Phi_u$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. It suffices to take $F(s, t) = \frac{s}{1+t}$, in Theorem 3.2. \square

Example 3.9. Let $X = A \cup B$, where $A = \left\{0, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{16}\right\}$ and $B = \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right]$. Define $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} d(x, y) = d(y, x) \text{ for all } x, y \in X; \\ d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow y = x \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} d\left(0, \frac{1}{9}\right) = d\left(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{16}\right) = 0, 1 \\ d\left(0, \frac{1}{5}\right) = d\left(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{9}\right) = 0, 5 \\ d\left(0, \frac{1}{16}\right) = d\left(\frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{16}\right) = 0, 05 \\ d(x, y) = (|x - y|)^2 \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then (X, d) is a b -rectangular metric space with coefficient $s = 3$. However we have the following:

- 1) (X, d) is not a metric space, since $d\left(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{9}\right) = 0.5 > 0.15 = d\left(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{16}\right) + d\left(\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{9}\right)$.
- 2) (X, d) is not a b -metric space for $s=3$, since $d\left(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{9}\right) = 0.5 > 0.45 = 3 \left[d\left(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{16}\right) + d\left(\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{9}\right) \right]$.
- 3) (X, d) is not a rectangular metric space, since $d\left(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{9}\right) = 0.5 > 0.25 = d\left(\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{16}\right) + d\left(\frac{1}{16}, 0\right) + d\left(0, \frac{1}{9}\right)$.

Define a mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{16} \text{ if } x \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right] \\ 0 \text{ if } x \in A. \end{cases}$$

Then $T(x) \in X$ for all $x \in X$. Let

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} t \text{ if } t \in [0, 1] \\ 2t \text{ if } t > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{16} \text{ if } t \in [0, 1] \\ \frac{t}{8} \text{ if } t > 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\psi(t) = \frac{3t}{2}$$

and

$$F(s, t) = s - t.$$

Then ψ is an altering distance function and φ is a lower semicontinuous function and ϕ is a lower semicontinuous function such that $\psi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$, $\phi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$ and $\varphi(t) = 0 \Leftrightarrow t = 0$ and F C-class function .

Consider the following possibilities:

$$\text{Case I: } x, y \in \left\{0, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{16}\right\}.$$

Assume that $x \geq y$. Then

$$\psi \left(s^2 d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) \right) = \psi (9 \cdot d(0, 0) + \varphi(0) + \varphi(0)) = \psi(0) = 0.$$

Also

$$d(x, y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(y) = d(x, y) + x + y,$$

$$d(x, Tx) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx) = d(x, 0) + x,$$

$$d(y, Ty) + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty) = d(y, 0) + y$$

and

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = \max\{d(x, y) + x + y, d(x, 0) + x, d(y, 0) + y\}.$$

Since $x \geq y$, we have

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = \max\{d(x, y) + x + y, d(x, 0) + x\}.$$

If

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = d(x, y) + x + y \geq \frac{1}{20},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi (M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) - \phi (M(x, y, d, T, \varphi))) &= \psi (d(x, y) + x + y) - \phi (d(x, y) + x + y) \\ &= \frac{23}{16} (d(x, y) + x + y) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$0 = \psi \left(s^2 d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) \right) \leq \psi (M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) - \phi (M(x, y, d, T, \varphi))).$$

If

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = d(x, 0) + x \geq \frac{1}{20},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \left(s^2 d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) \right) &\leq \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{20} - \frac{1}{20} \cdot \frac{1}{16} = \frac{23}{320} \\ &\leq \psi (M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) - \phi (M(x, y, d, T, \varphi))). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $x < y$. Then

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = \max\{d(x, y) + x + y, d(y, 0) + y\}.$$

If

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = d(x, y) + x + y \geq \frac{1}{20} + \frac{1}{16} = \frac{9}{80},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \left(s^2 d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) \right) &\leq \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{9}{80} - \frac{1}{16} \cdot \frac{9}{80} = \frac{207}{1280} \\ &\leq \psi (M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) - \phi (M(x, y, d, T, \varphi))). \end{aligned}$$

If

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = d(y, 0) + y,$$

then

$$d(y, 0) + y \geq \frac{9}{80},$$

since $x < y$ and $0 < y$. Thus

$$\psi \left(s^2 d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) \right) \leq \psi \left(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) - \phi \left(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) \right) \right).$$

Case II: $x \in \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{16} \right\}$ and $y \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1 \right]$. This implies $x < y$. Then

$$\psi \left(s^2 \left(d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) \right) \right) = \frac{3}{2} \left[9d \left(\frac{1}{16}, 0 \right) + \frac{1}{16} \right] = \frac{123}{160}.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(y) &= (x - y)^2 + x + y, \\ d(x, Tx) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx) &= d(x, 0) + x \\ d(y, Ty) + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty) &= d \left(y, \frac{1}{16} \right) + y + \frac{1}{16}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = \max \left\{ (x - y)^2 + x + y, d(x, 0) + x, d \left(y, \frac{1}{16} \right) + y + \frac{1}{16} \right\}$$

Since $x < y$, we have

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = \max \left\{ (x - y)^2 + x + y, d \left(y, \frac{1}{16} \right) + y + \frac{1}{16} \right\}.$$

If

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = d(x - y)^2 + x + y \geq \frac{1}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{5} \right)^2 = \frac{59}{100},$$

then

$$\psi \left(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) \right) - \phi \left(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) \right) = \frac{23}{16} \left(d(x, y)^2 + x + y \right) \geq \frac{23}{16} \cdot \frac{59}{100} = \frac{1357}{1600} \geq \frac{123}{160}.$$

Then

$$\psi \left(s^2 d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) \right) \leq \psi \left(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) - \phi \left(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) \right) \right).$$

If

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = d \left(y, \frac{1}{16} \right) + y + \frac{1}{16} \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{16} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{16} \right)^2 = \frac{193}{256},$$

then

$$\psi \left(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) \right) - \phi \left(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) \right) = \frac{23}{16} d \left(y, \frac{1}{16} \right) + y + \frac{1}{16} \geq \frac{23}{16} \cdot \frac{193}{256} = \frac{4439}{4096} \geq \frac{123}{160}.$$

Then

$$\psi \left(s^2 d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) \right) \leq \psi \left(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) - \phi \left(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) \right) \right).$$

Case III: $y \in \left\{ 0, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{16} \right\}$ and $x \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1 \right]$.

By a similar method to Case II, we deduce that

$$\psi \left(s^2 d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) \right) \leq \psi \left(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) - \phi \left(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) \right) \right).$$

Case IV: $x, y \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1 \right]$.

If $x \geq y$, then

$$\psi \left(s^2 d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) \right) = \psi \left(9d \left(\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{16} \right) + \varphi \left(\frac{1}{16} \right) + \varphi \left(\frac{1}{16} \right) \right) = \frac{3}{16}.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(y) &= (x - y)^2 + x + y, \\ d(x, Tx) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx) &= d \left(x, \frac{1}{16} \right) + x + \frac{1}{16}, \\ d(y, Ty) + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty) &= d \left(y, \frac{1}{16} \right) + y + \frac{1}{16} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = \max \left\{ (x - y)^2 + x + y, d \left(x, \frac{1}{16} \right) + x + \frac{1}{16}, d \left(y, \frac{1}{16} \right) + y + \frac{1}{16} \right\}.$$

Since $x \geq y$, we have

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = \max \left\{ (x - y)^2 + x + y, d \left(x, \frac{1}{16} \right) + x + \frac{1}{16} \right\}.$$

If

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = (x - y)^2 + x + y \geq 1,$$

then

$$\frac{3}{16} \leq \frac{23}{16} \leq \psi \left(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) - \phi \left(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) \right) \right).$$

If

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = d \left(x, \frac{1}{16} \right) + x + \frac{1}{16} \geq d \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16} \right) + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{16} = \frac{193}{256},$$

then

$$\frac{3}{16} \leq \frac{23}{16} \cdot \frac{193}{256} = \frac{4439}{4096} \leq \psi \left(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) - \phi \left(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) \right) \right).$$

If $x, y \in A$ and $x < y$, then

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = \max \left\{ (x - y)^2 + x + y, d \left(y, \frac{1}{16} \right) + y \right\}.$$

By a similar method to the condition $x \geq y$, we have

$$\frac{3}{16} \leq \frac{4439}{4096} \leq \psi \left(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) - \phi \left(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) \right) \right).$$

Hence

$$\psi \left(s^2 d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) \right) \leq F \left(\psi \left(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) \right), \phi \left(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) \right) \right), \quad (3.17)$$

Thus all the conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied and 0 is the unique fixed point of T .

Definition 3.10. [2] A tripled (ψ, ϕ, F) where $\psi \in \Psi$, $\phi \in \Phi_u$ and $F \in \mathcal{C}$ is say to be monotone if for any $x, y \in [0, \infty)$

$$x \leq y \implies F(\psi(x), \phi(x)) \leq F(\psi(y), \phi(y)).$$

Example 3.11. let $F(s, t) = s - t, \phi(x) = \sqrt{x}$

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{x} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ x^2, & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases},$$

then (ψ, ϕ, F) is monotone.

Example 3.12. let $F(s, t) = s - t, \phi(x) = x^2$

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ \sqrt{x} & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases},$$

then (ψ, ϕ, F) is not monotone. Indeed, let $x \in [0, 1]$ and $y > 1$ so, $x \leq y$ Therefore,

$$F(\psi(x), \phi(x)) = F(x^2, x^2) = 0$$

and

$$F(\psi(y), \phi(y)) = F(\sqrt{x}, x^2) = \sqrt{x} - x^2 < 0.$$

Definition 3.13. Let (X, d) be a complete b -rectangular metric space and

$T : X \rightarrow X$. Also let $\varphi : X \rightarrow R^+$ be a lower semicontinuous function. Then T is called a convex C class $-F$ -generalized weakly contractive mapping if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\psi \left(s^2 d(Tx, Ty) + \varphi(Tx) + \varphi(Ty) \right) \leq F(\psi(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi)), \phi(M(x, y, d, T, \varphi))), \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$M(x, y, d, T, \varphi) = \frac{a[d(x, y) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)] + b[d(x, Tx) + \varphi(x) + \varphi(Tx)] + c[d(y, Ty) + \varphi(y) + \varphi(Ty)]}{a + b + c}$$

for all $x, y \in X, a, b, c \in [0, \infty), a + b + c > 0$ and (ψ, ϕ, F) is not monotone, $\psi \in \Phi, \phi \in \Phi_u, F \in \mathcal{C}$.

Theorem 3.14. Let X be a complete b -rectangular metric space with parameter $s \geq 1$. If T is a convex C class $-F$ -generalized weakly contractive mapping, then T has a unique fixed point $z \in X$ such that $z = Tz$ and $\varphi(z) = 0$.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point in X . Then we define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1} = 0$, then x_{n_0} is a fixed point of T .

Next, we assume that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$.

We claim that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+2}) = 0.$$

Letting $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$ in (3.1) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi \left(s^2 d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + \varphi(Tx_{n-1}) + \varphi(Tx_n) \right) \\ & \leq F(\psi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n, d, T, \varphi)), \phi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n, d, T, \varphi))), \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{n-1}, x_n, d, T, \varphi) &= \frac{1}{a+b+c} \{ [ad(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n)] + b[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) \\ & \quad + \varphi(x_n)] + c[d(x_n, Tx_n) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(Tx_n)] \} \\ &= \frac{1}{a+b+c} \{ (a+b)[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n)] + c[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(Tx_{n+1})] \}. \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) + \varphi(Tx_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_{n+1})) \\ &= \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1})) \\ &\leq \psi \left(s^2 d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1}) \right) \\ &\leq F \left(\psi \left(\frac{1}{a+b+c} \{ (a+b)[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n)] \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + c[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(Tx_{n+1})] \right) \right) \\ & \quad , \phi \left(\frac{1}{a+b+c} \{ (a+b)[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n)] \right. \\ & \quad \left. + c[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(Tx_{n+1})] \right) \\ &\leq \psi \left(\frac{1}{a+b+c} \{ (a+b)[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n)] \right. \\ & \quad \left. + c[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(Tx_{n+1})] \right), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1})) \leq \left(\frac{1}{a+b+c} \{ (a+b)[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n)] \right. \\ \left. + c[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(Tx_{n+1})] \right)$$

and so

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1}) \leq d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n)$$

Hence the sequence $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1})\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is nonincreasing.

Hence $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1}) \rightarrow r$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ for some $r \geq 0$. Assume $r > 0$ and letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in above inequality and using the continuity of ψ and the lower semicontinuity of ϕ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(r) &\leq \psi(s^2 r) \leq F(\psi(r), \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1}))) \\ &\leq F(\psi(r), \phi(r)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\psi(r) = 0$, or $\phi(r) = 0$, hence we have $r = 0$ and consequently, $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1}) = 0$. So

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(x_{n+1}) = 0. \quad (3.21)$$

Now, we shall prove that T has a periodic point. Suppose that it is not the case. Then $x_n \neq x_m$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \neq m$.

In (3.1), letting $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_{n+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi \left(s^2 d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n+1}) + \varphi(Tx_{n-1}) + \varphi(Tx_{n+1}) \right) \\ & \leq F(\psi(M(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, d, T, \varphi)), \phi(M(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, d, T, \varphi))), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, d, T, \varphi) &= \frac{1}{a+b+c} \{a[d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_{n+1})] + \\ & \quad b[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n)] + c[d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \varphi(x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_{n+2})]\} \\ &= \frac{1}{a+b+c} \{a[d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_{n+1})], d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+2}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+2})) &\leq \psi \left(s^2 d(x_n, x_{n+2}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+2}) \right) \\ &\leq F(\psi(\max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n)\}), \\ & \quad \phi(\max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \varphi(x_{n-1}) + \varphi(x_n)\})). \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Take $a_n = d(x_n, x_{n+2}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+2})$ and $b_n = d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1})$.

Then by (3.23), one can write

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(a_n) &\leq F(\psi(\max(a_{n-1}, b_{n-1})), \phi(\max(a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}))) \\ &\leq \psi(\max(a_{n-1}, b_{n-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Since ψ is increasing, we get

$$a_n \leq \max\{a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}\}.$$

By (3.3), we have

$$b_n \leq b_{n-1} \leq \max\{a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}\},$$

which implies that

$$\max\{a_n, b_n\} \leq \max\{a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}\}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore, the sequence $\max\{a_{n-1}, b_{n-1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a nonnegative decreasing sequence of real numbers. Thus there exists $\lambda \geq 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \max\{a_n, b_n\} = \lambda.$$

Assume that $\lambda > 0$. By (3.6), it is obvious that

$$\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \max\{a_n, b_n\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \max\{a_n, b_n\}. \quad (3.24)$$

Taking $\limsup_n \rightarrow +\infty$ in (3.23), using (3.24) and using the properties of ψ and ϕ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\lambda) &= \psi\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} a_n\right) \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \psi(a_n) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \psi(\max\{a_n, b_n\}) - \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \phi(\max\{a_n, b_n\}) \\ &\leq F\left(\psi\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \max\{a_n, b_n\}\right), \phi\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \max\{a_n, b_n\}\right)\right) \\ &= F(\psi(\lambda), \phi(\lambda)),\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\psi(r) = 0$, or $\phi(r) = 0$, a contradiction. Thus, from (3.24),

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} a_n = 0$$

and hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, x_{n+2}) = 0.$$

Next, we shall prove that $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence, i.e, $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, x_m) = 0$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose to the contrary.

From (3.1) and by setting $x = x_{m(k)}$ and $y = x_{n(k)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, d, T, \varphi) &= \max\{d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) + \varphi(x_{m(k)}) + \varphi(x_{n(k)}), \\ &\quad d(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)+1}) + \varphi(x_{m(k)}) + \varphi(x_{m(k)+1}), d(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}) + \varphi(x_{n(k)}) + \varphi(x_{n(k)+1})\}.\end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $k \rightarrow +\infty$ and using (3.6), (3.7) and (iii) of Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} M(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}, d, T, \varphi) \leq s\varepsilon. \quad (3.25)$$

Now letting $x = x_{m(k)}$ and $y = x_{n(k)}$ in (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi\left[s^2 d(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1}) + \varphi(m(k)+1) + \varphi(n(k)+1)\right] \\ \leq F\left(\psi\left[d(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1}) + \varphi(m(k)+1) + \varphi(n(k)+1)\right], \phi\left[d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)+1}) + \varphi(m(k)} + \varphi(n(k))\right]\right).\end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow +\infty$, using (3.6), (3.7), (3.25), and applying the continuity of ψ and the lower semicontinuity of ϕ , we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \psi\left[s^2 d(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1})\right] \leq F(\psi(s\varepsilon), \phi(s\varepsilon)).$$

Using (3.25) and (iv) of Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$\psi(s\varepsilon) = \psi\left(s^2 \frac{\varepsilon}{s}\right) \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \psi\left[s^2 d(x_{m(k)+1}, x_{n(k)+1})\right] \leq F(\psi(s\varepsilon), \phi(s\varepsilon)).$$

This is a contradiction. Thus

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_m, x_n) = 0.$$

Hence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . By completeness of (X, d) , there exists $z \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(x_n, z) = 0.$$

Since φ is lower semicontinuous, we get

$$\varphi(z) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(x_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(x_n) = 0,$$

which implies

$$\varphi(z) = 0. \quad (3.26)$$

Now, putting $x = x_n$ and $y = z$ in (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_n, z, d, T, \varphi) &= \max\{d(x_n, z) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(z), \\ &\quad d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \varphi(x_n) + \varphi(x_{n+1}), d(z, Tz) + \varphi(z) + \varphi(Tz)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and using (3.6), (3.7) and (3.26), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} M(x_n, z, d, T, \varphi) = d(z, Tz) + \varphi(Tz).$$

Since $x_n \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, from Lemma 2.3, we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{s}d(z, Tz) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(Tx_n, Tz) \leq sd(z, Tz).$$

Hence

$$sd(z, Tz) = s^2 \frac{1}{s}d(z, Tz) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} s^2 d(Tx_n, Tz),$$

which implies

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} [sd(z, Tz) + \varphi(x_{n+1}) + \varphi(Tz)] \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} [s^2 d(Tx_n, Tz) + \varphi(x_{n+1}) + \varphi(Tz)].$$

Then using (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi [s^2 d(Tx_n, Tz) + \varphi(Tx_n) + \varphi(Tz)] &= \psi [s^2 d(x_{n+1}, Tz) + \varphi(x_{n+1}) + \varphi(Tz)] \\ &\leq F(\psi [M(x_n, z, d, T, \varphi)], \phi [M(x_n, z, d, T, \varphi)]). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and using the continuity of ψ and the lower semicontinuity of ϕ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi \left[\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (sd(z, Tz) + \varphi(x_{n+1}) + \varphi(Tz)) \right] \\ \leq \psi \left[\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (s^2 d(Tx_n, Tz) + \varphi(x_{n+1}) + \varphi(Tz)) \right] \\ \leq F(\psi \left[\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} M(x_n, z, d, T, \varphi) \right], \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \phi [M(x_n, z, d, T, \varphi)]), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\psi [sd(z, Tz) + \varphi(Tz)] \leq F(\psi [d(z, Tz) + \varphi(Tz)], \phi [d(z, Tz) + \varphi(Tz)]).$$

This holds if and only if $\psi(d(z, Tz) + \varphi(Tz)) = 0$ or $\phi(d(z, Tz) + \varphi(Tz)) = 0$ and from the property of ψ, ϕ , we have

$$d(z, Tz) + \varphi(Tz) = 0.$$

Hence $d(z, Tz) = 0$ and so $z = Tz$ and $\varphi(Tz) = 0$. It is a contradiction to the assumption: that T does not have a periodic point. Thus T has a periodic point, say, z of period n . Suppose that the set of fixed points of T is empty. Then we have

$$q > 0 \text{ and } d(z, Tz) > 0.$$

Since T has a periodic point, $z = T^n z$. Letting $x = T^{n-1}z$ and $y = T^n z$, we obtain

$$M(T^n z, T^{n-1}z, d, T, \varphi) = \max\{d(T^{n-1}z, T^n z) + \varphi(T^{n-1}z) + \varphi(T^n z), \\ d(T^{n-1}z, T^n z) + \varphi(T^{n-1}z) + \varphi(T^n z), d(T^n z, TT^n z) + \varphi(T^n z) + \varphi(TT^n z)\}.$$

By a similar method to (3.4), we conclude that

$$M(T^n z, T^{n-1}z, d, T, \varphi) = d(T^{n-1}z, T^n z) + \varphi(T^{n-1}z) + \varphi(T^n z).$$

From (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi[s^2 d(z, Tz) + \varphi(T^n z) + \varphi(T^{n+1}z)] &= \psi[s^2 d(T^n z, T^{n+1}z) + \varphi(T^n z) + \varphi(T^{n+1}z)] \\ &\leq F(\psi[d(T^{n-1}z, T^n z) + \varphi(T^{n-1}z) + \varphi(T^n z)] \\ &\quad , \phi[d(T^{n-1}z, T^n z) + \varphi(T^{n-1}z) + \varphi(T^n z)]) \\ &\leq \psi[s^2 d(T^{n-1}z, T^n z) + \varphi(T^{n-1}z) + \varphi(T^n z)] \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\leq F(\psi[d(z, Tz) + \varphi(z) + \varphi(Tz)] \\ &\quad , \phi[d(z, Tz) + \varphi(z) + \varphi(Tz)]) \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and applying the continuity of ψ and the lower semicontinuity of ϕ , we have

$$\psi[s^2 d(z, Tz)] \leq F(\psi[d(z, Tz)], \phi[d(z, Tz)]).$$

Hence $d(z, Tz) = 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus the set of fixed points of T is non-empty, that is, T has at least one fixed point.

Suppose that $z, u \in X$ are two fixed points of T such that $u \neq z$. Then $Tz = z$ and $Tu = u$.

Letting $x = z$ and $y = u$ in (3.1), we have

$$\psi(s^2 d(Tz, Tu) + \varphi(Tz) + \varphi(Tu)) = \psi(s^2 d(z, u)) \leq F(\psi(M(z, u, d, T, \varphi)), \phi(M(z, u, d, T, \varphi))),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(z, u, d, T, \varphi) &= \max\{d(z, u) + \varphi(z) + \varphi(u), d(z, Tz) + \varphi(z) + \varphi(Tz), d(u, Tu) + \varphi(u) + \varphi(Tu)\} \\ &= d(z, u). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\psi(s^2 d(z, u)) \leq F(\psi(d(z, u)), \phi(d(z, u))).$$

This holds if $\phi(d(z, u)) = 0$ and so we have $d(z, u) = 0$. Hence $z = u$ and T has a unique fixed point. \square

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, inspired by the concept of generalized weakly contractive mappings in metric spaces, we introduced the concept of C-class function for generalized weakly contractive mappings in rectangular b -metric spaces to study the existence of fixed point for the mappings in this spaces. Furthermore, we provided some useful examples.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ya.I. Alber, S. Guerre-Delabriere, Principles of weakly contractive maps in Hilbert spaces, in: I. Goldberg, Yu. Lyubich (Eds.), *New Results in Operator Theory*, Adv. Appl., 98 (1997), 7–22.
- [2] A.H. Ansari, Note on ϕ - ψ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point, *Proc. 2nd Reg. Conf. Math. Appl.*, Payame Noor Univ., 2014, 377–380.
- [3] S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications integrales, *Fund. Math.* 3 (1922), 133–181.
- [4] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem of Banach–Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 57 (2000), 31–37.
- [5] S. Cho, Fixed point theorems for generalized weakly contractive mappings in metric spaces with applications, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2018 (2018), Paper No. 3.
- [6] B.S. Choudhury, P. Konar, B.E. Rhoades, N. Metiya, Fixed point theorems for generalized weakly contractive mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.* 74 (2011), 2116–2126.
- [7] R. George, S. Radenovic, K.P. Reshma, S. Shukla, Rectangular b -metric spaces and contraction principle, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 8 (2015), 1005–1013.
- [8] A. Kari, M. Rossafi, E. Marhrani, M. Aamri, θ - ϕ -contraction on (α, η) -complete rectangular b -metric spaces, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2020 (2020), Article ID 5689458.
- [9] A. Kari, M. Rossafi, E. Marhrani, M. Aamri, New fixed point theorems for θ - ϕ -contraction on complete rectangular b -metric spaces, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2020 (2020), Article ID 8833214.
- [10] A. Kari, M. Rossafi, E. Marhrani, M. Aamri, Fixed-point theorem for nonlinear F -contraction via w -distance, *Adv. Math. Phys.* 2020 (2020), Article ID 6617517.
- [11] M.S. Khan, M. Swaleh, S. Sessa, Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 30 (1984), 1–9.
- [12] M. Rossafi, A. Kari, Fixed points for weakly contractive mappings in rectangular b -metric spaces, *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* 14 (2023), 763–783.
- [13] J.R. Roshan, V. Parvaneh, Z. Kadelburg, N. Hussain, New fixed point results in b -rectangular metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control* 21 (2016), 614–634.