

Boas-Type Theorems for the Mehler-Fock-Clifford Transform

M. El Bouazizi*, M. El Hamma

*Laboratory of Mathematical Analysis, Algebra and Applications, Ain Chock Faculty of Sciences, Hassan II University of Casablanca, Morocco**elbouazizimohammed1991@gmail.com, m_elhamma@yahoo.fr***Correspondence: elbouazizimohammed1991@gmail.com*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give necessary and sufficient conditions in terms of $M(f)$, the Mehler-Fock-Clifford transform of f , to ensure that f belongs either to one of the generalized Lipschitz classes H_α^m and h_α^m for $\alpha > 0$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Boas results are one of the classical topics in harmonic analysis and approximation theory which consists in finding necessary and sufficient conditions on the Fourier coefficients of a function to belong to a generalised Lipschitz class.

In 1967, Boas proved the first characterisation of this type, see [5]. Since then, this theory has been widely studied by several authors.

In [5] and [6], the author has studied the continuity and smoothness properties of a function f with absolutely convergent Fourier series. He gave the best possible sufficient conditions in terms of the Fourier coefficients of f which ensure the belonging of f either to one of the Lipschitz classes $Lip(\alpha)$ and $lip(\alpha)$ for some $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

Tikhonov, in [8] and [9], considered the cases of cosine and sine series separately. Recently, Volosivets have published two papers.

In this paper, we will see an analogue of this theory for the Mehler Fock-Clifford.

We will try to complete the work done in our research laboratory on this theory, see [10] and [11]. To do this, we will start by recalling the necessary results of this transformation that we need to study this theory.

Let $L^p(\mathbb{R}^+, d\mu)$ the space of measurable function on $[0; +\infty[$ satisfying:

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_0^{+\infty} |f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty,$$

Received: 29 Mar 2026.

Key words and phrases. Mehler-Fock-Clifford transform, Generalized translation operator, Boas theorems.

if $1 \leq p < +\infty$ and

$$\|f\|_\infty = \operatorname{esssup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^+} |f(x)|,$$

if $p = +\infty$ and μ is a positive measure.

$Loc(\mathbb{R}^+)$ the space of locally integrable functions.

Definition 1.1. $P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(x)$ is cone function (associated Legendre function of zero order) and it is represented in terms of Gaussian hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1$ as:

$$P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(x) = {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\sqrt{\lambda}, \frac{1}{2} - i\sqrt{\lambda}; 1; \frac{(1-x)}{2}\right).$$

We are now going to see some properties of kernel $P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x})$ cited in [4]:

Lemma 1.2. For every positive integer m there exists $M > 0$ such that:

$$\left| \frac{d^m}{dx^m} P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x}) \right| \leq M \cosh(\pi\sqrt{\lambda}).$$

Lemma 1.3.

$$\left| P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x}) \right| \leq P_{-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x}).$$

Using asymptotic behaviours of $P_{-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x})$, we have:

$$\left| P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x}) \right| \leq C.$$

The theory and properties of Hankel-Clifford transform have already been studied by the several researchers via [1] [2] and [3]. As per this argument the Legendre-Clifford function according to [?] is defined as:

$$P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x}) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \cosh(\pi\sqrt{\lambda}) \int_0^\infty \frac{\cos(\sqrt{\lambda}t)}{\sqrt{2\sqrt{x} + \cosh t}} dt.$$

Now we define the Mehler-Fock-Clifford (MFC) transform as:

$$F(\lambda) = (Mf)(\lambda) = \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^\infty f(x) P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x}) \frac{dx}{\sqrt{x}}, \quad \lambda > 0,$$

and its inversion:

$$f(x) = (M^{-1}F)(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \tanh(\pi\lambda) P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x}) F(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad x > \frac{1}{4}.$$

We will now move on to defining the operator that will play the role of the translation operator.

We define the symmetric function $D(x, y, z) \geq 0$ as:

$$D(x, y, z) = \int_0^\infty \tanh(\pi\sqrt{\lambda}) P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x}) P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{y}) P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{z}) d\lambda,$$

where $D(x, y, y) = \frac{1}{\pi} (16\sqrt{xy}z + 1 - 4x - 4y - 4z)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ for $z \in I_{x,y}$ and $D(x, y, z) = 0$ otherwise with

$$I_{x,y} = \left[4\sqrt{xy} - \left[(4x-1)(4y-1) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}; 4\sqrt{xy} + \left[(4x-1)(4y-1) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right].$$

Now using the inversion of MFC-transform, then we have:

$$P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x})P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{y}) = \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\infty} D(x, y, z) P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{z}) \frac{dz}{\sqrt{z}},$$

and

$$\int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\infty} D(x, y, z) \frac{dz}{\sqrt{z}} = 1.$$

The translation operator is defined as:

$$(T_h f)(y) = \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\infty} D(x, y, z) f(z) \frac{dz}{\sqrt{z}},$$

and we see that:

$$P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x})P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{y}) = \left(T_h(P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{z})) \right)(y).$$

Theorem 1.4. [4] *If $f \in L^1(\frac{1}{4}; +\infty; x^{-\frac{1}{2}} dx)$, then the MFC-transform of the translation operator checks the following property:*

$$M(T_h f)(\lambda) = P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h})(Mf)(\lambda).$$

If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+, d\mu)$ then f verifies the properties of Theorem 1.4

2. MAIN RESULT

We define differences of the order m , $m \in \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\Delta_h^m f(x) = \left[\left(1 + P_{\frac{-1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) \right) I + T_h \right]^m f(x).$$

We have that:

$$M(\Delta_h^m f)(\lambda) = \left(1 + P_{\frac{-1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) + P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) \right)^m M(f)(\lambda).$$

By using the formula of inversion of Mehler-Fock-Clifford we get:

$$\Delta_h^m f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} \tanh(\pi\sqrt{\lambda}) P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x}) \left(1 + P_{\frac{-1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) + P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) \right)^m M(f)(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

So:

$$|\Delta_h^m f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} \left| \tanh(\pi\sqrt{\lambda}) P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x}) \left(1 + P_{\frac{-1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) + P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) \right)^m M(f)(\lambda) \right| d\lambda.$$

In what follows, to simplify the writing of equalities, we will denote:

$$dv_x(\lambda) = \tanh(\pi\sqrt{\lambda}) |P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x})| d\lambda.$$

Since $\tanh(\lambda) \geq 0$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then v_x is a positive measure. Then:

$$|\Delta_h^m f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{+\infty} \left(1 + P_{\frac{-1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) + P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) \right)^m |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda).$$

Now, we define the generalized Lipschitz H_α^m and h_α^m .

A function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to belong to H_α^m for $\alpha > 0$ if:

$$\delta_h^m f(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} \left(1 + P_{\frac{-1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) + P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) \right)^m |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) < +\infty,$$

and

$$\delta_h^m f(x) = O(h^\alpha),$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$, and is said belong to h_α^m for $\alpha > 0$ if:

$$\delta_h^m f(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} \left(1 + P_{-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) + P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h})\right)^m |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) < +\infty,$$

and

$$\delta_h^m f(x) = o(h^\alpha),$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$.

It's clear to see that if $f \in H_\alpha^m$, then:

$$|\Delta_h^m f(x)| = O(h^\alpha),$$

and if $f \in h_\alpha^m$, then:

$$|\Delta_h^m f(x)| = o(h^\alpha).$$

We know that:

$$|P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h})| \leq P_{-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}).$$

So

$$-P_{-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) \leq P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) \leq P_{-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}).$$

Then:

$$1 \leq 1 + P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) + P_{-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) \leq 1 + 2P_{-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}).$$

Now, we will prove a result that will be used to prove the first result in this paper.

Lemma 2.1. *Let g be a non-negative, measurable function defined on $\mathbb{R}^+$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then:*

- (1) *if $0 < \alpha \leq m$ is given number, $x^m g(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+, d\mu) \cap L_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that μ is a positive measure and*

$$\int_0^y x^m g(x) d\mu(x) = O(y^{m-\alpha}), \quad (2.1)$$

for every $y > 0$. Then $g \in L^1([y; +\infty[, d\mu)$ and

$$\int_y^{+\infty} g(x) d\mu(x) = O(y^{-\alpha}), \quad (2.2)$$

for every $y > 0$.

- (2) *Conversely, if $0 \leq \alpha < m$ and (2.2) holds, then (2.1) also holds.*

Proof.

(1) By (2.1) we have that:

$$\int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} x^m g(x) d\mu(x) \leq \int_0^{2^{k+1}} x^m g(x) d\mu(x) \leq C(2^{k+1})^{m-\alpha}.$$

So

$$\int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} x^m g(x) d\mu(x) \leq C 2^{m-\alpha-k\alpha+mk}.$$

Since

$$2^{km} \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} g(x) d\mu(x) \leq \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} x^m g(x) d\mu(x).$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} g(x) d\mu(x) &\leq C 2^{m-\alpha-k\alpha} \\ \int_{2^k}^{+\infty} g(x) d\mu(x) &= C 2^{m-\alpha} (2^{-\alpha k} + 2^{-\alpha(k+1)} + \dots), \\ &= C 2^{m-\alpha} \frac{1}{1-2^{-\alpha}} 2^{-\alpha k}, \\ &= O(2^{-\alpha k}). \end{aligned}$$

If $0 < y < +\infty$, let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $2^k \leq y \leq 2^{k+1}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_y^{+\infty} g(x) d\mu(x) &\leq \int_{2^k}^{+\infty} g(x) d\mu(x), \\ &\leq C_1 2^{-\alpha k}, \\ &= 2^\alpha C_1 2^{-\alpha(k+1)}, \\ &\leq 2^\alpha C_1 y^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\int_y^{+\infty} g(x) d\mu(x) = O(y^{-\alpha}),$$

and

$$g \in L^1(\cdot y; +\infty[, d\mu).$$

(2) By (2.2), there exists $c > 0$ such that:

$$\int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} g(x) d\mu(x) \leq \int_{2^{k-1}}^{+\infty} g(x) d\mu(x) \leq C(2^{k-1})^{-\alpha}.$$

So:

$$\int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} x^m g(x) d\mu(x) \leq 2^{km} \int_{2^{k-1}}^{+\infty} g(x) d\mu(x) \leq C(2^{k-1})^{-\alpha}.$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} g(x) d\mu(x) &\leq C_2 \left(2^{k(m-\alpha)} + 2^{(k+1)(m-\alpha)} + \dots \right), \\
 &\leq C_2 2^{(m-\alpha)k} \frac{1}{1 - 2^{m-\alpha}} 2^\alpha, \\
 &= 2^\alpha C_1 2^{-\alpha(k+1)}, \\
 &= O\left(2^{(m-\alpha)k}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

If $0 < y < +\infty$, let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $2^k \leq y \leq 2^{k+1}$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^y x^m g(x) d\mu(x) &\leq \int_0^{2^{k+1}} x^m g(x) d\mu(x), \\
 &\leq C_2 2^{(k+1)(m-\alpha)}, \\
 &= C_2 2^{(m-\alpha)} 2^{k(m-\alpha)}, \\
 &\leq C_2 2^{(m-\alpha)} y^{m-\alpha}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Which shows that:

$$\int_0^y x^m g(x) d\mu(x) = O(y^{m-\alpha}).$$

■ We will see the first main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+, d\mu)$ such that μ is a positive measure.*

(1) *Suppose that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+, d\mu) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^+)$. If*

$$\int_0^y |\lambda|^{2m} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) = O(y^{2m-\alpha}), \quad (2.3)$$

for every $y > 0$. Then $M(f) \in L^1(\cdot y; +\infty[, dv_x(\lambda))$ and $f \in H_\alpha^m$

(2) *Conversely, suppose that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+, d\mu)$, $M(f) \in L^1(\cdot y; +\infty[, dv_x(\lambda))$. If $f \in H_m^\alpha$ then (2.3) holds.*

Remark 2.3. *From Lemma 2.1 it follows that:*

The statement (2.3) implies

$$\int_y^{+\infty} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) = O(y^{-\alpha}),$$

and

$$\delta_h^m f(x) < +\infty$$

Proof.

(1) by Remark 2.3 we have

$$\int_y^{+\infty} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) = O(y^{-\alpha}),$$

and

$$M(f) \in L^1(\cdot y; +\infty[, dv_x(\lambda)).$$

We have that:

$$\delta_h^m f(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} \left| \tanh(\pi\sqrt{\lambda}) P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x}) \left(1 + P_{\frac{-1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) + P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h})\right)^m M(f)(\lambda) \right| d\lambda.$$

Then

$$\delta_h^m f(x) = I_1 + I_2,$$

such that:

$$I_1 = \int_0^{\frac{1}{h}} \left| \tanh(\pi\sqrt{\lambda}) P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x}) \left(1 + P_{\frac{-1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) + P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h})\right)^m M(f)(\lambda) \right| d\lambda,$$

and

$$I_2 = \int_{\frac{1}{h}}^{+\infty} \left| \tanh(\pi\sqrt{\lambda}) P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x}) \left(1 + P_{\frac{-1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) + P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h})\right)^m M(f)(\lambda) \right| d\lambda.$$

By properties of $P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}$ we have that:

$$I_2 \leq (1 + 2M)^m \int_{\frac{1}{h}}^{+\infty} \left| \tanh(\pi\sqrt{\lambda}) P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x}) M(f)(\lambda) \right| d\lambda.$$

i.e

$$I_2 \leq (1 + 2M)^m \int_{\frac{1}{h}}^{+\infty} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda).$$

By hypothesis we have

$$\int_0^{\frac{1}{h}} |\lambda|^{2m} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) = O\left(\frac{1}{h^{2m-\alpha}}\right).$$

By Lemma 2.1 we get:

$$\int_{\frac{1}{h}}^{+\infty} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) = O(h^\alpha).$$

To estimate I_1 , set

$$\phi(x) = \int_x^\infty |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda).$$

We have that

$$\int_0^x \lambda \phi'(\lambda) d\lambda \leq x \int_0^x \phi'(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

So:

$$\int_0^x \lambda \phi'(\lambda) d\lambda \leq x(\phi(x) - \phi(0)).$$

It follows that:

$$\int_0^x \lambda \phi'(\lambda) d\lambda \leq -x \int_0^x |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda).$$

Then:

$$\int_0^x |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) \leq \frac{-1}{x} \int_0^x \lambda \phi'(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Upon an integration by parts, we obtain:

$$\int_0^x \lambda \phi'(\lambda) d\lambda = x\phi(x) - \int_0^x \phi(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

So:

$$\frac{-1}{x} \int_0^x \lambda \phi'(\lambda) d\lambda = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \phi(\lambda) d\lambda - \phi(x).$$

Then

$$\frac{-1}{x} \int_0^x \lambda \phi'(\lambda) d\lambda \leq \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \phi(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

So, if $x \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\frac{-1}{x} \int_0^x \lambda \phi'(\lambda) d\lambda \leq \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x O(\lambda^{-\alpha}) d\lambda = O(x^{-\alpha}).$$

Then

$$\int_0^x |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) = O(x^{-\alpha}).$$

So

$$\int_0^{\frac{1}{h}} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) = O(h^\alpha).$$

It follows that

$$I_1 = O(h^\alpha).$$

Then

$$\delta_h^m f(x) = O(h^\alpha) + O(h^\alpha).$$

So

$$\delta_h^m f(x) = O(h^\alpha).$$

Which show that

$$f \in H_\alpha^m.$$

(2) We have that:

$$\int_0^{\frac{\beta}{h}} |\lambda|^{2m} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{h}\right)^{2m} \int_0^{\frac{\beta}{h}} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda).$$

So

$$\int_0^{\frac{\beta}{h}} |\lambda|^{2m} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{h}\right)^{2m} \int_0^{+\infty} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda).$$

Since

$$1 \leq \left(1 + P_{\frac{-1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) + P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h})\right)^m.$$

Then

$$\int_0^{\frac{\beta}{h}} |\lambda|^{2m} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{h}\right)^{2m} \int_0^{+\infty} \left(1 + P_{\frac{-1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) + P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h})\right)^m |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda).$$

Since $f \in H_\alpha^m$, so

$$\int_0^{\frac{\beta}{h}} |\lambda|^{2m} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) = \left(\frac{\beta}{h}\right)^{2m} O(h^\alpha).$$

Hence, for $y = \frac{\beta}{h}$, we get:

$$\int_0^y |\lambda|^{2m} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) = O\left(\left(\frac{\beta}{y}\right)^{\alpha-2m}\right).$$

So:

$$\int_0^y |\lambda|^{2m} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) = O(y^{2m-\alpha}).$$

Thus, (2.3) is holds.

■ Now, to see the second main result of this paper, we will state and prove the following result:

Lemma 2.4. *Let g be a non-negative, measurable function defined on $\mathbb{R}^+$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then:*

- (1) *if $0 < \alpha < m$ is given number, $x^m g(x) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+, d\mu) \cap L_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that μ is a positive measure and*

$$\int_0^y x^m g(x) d\mu(x) = o(y^{m-\alpha}), \quad (2.4)$$

as $y \rightarrow +\infty$. Then $g \in L^1([y; +\infty[, d\mu)$ for y large and

$$\int_y^{+\infty} g(x) d\mu(x) = o(y^{-\alpha}), \quad (2.5)$$

as $y \rightarrow +\infty$.

- (2) *Conversely, if $0 < \alpha \leq m$ and (2.2), (2.5) holds, then (2.4) also holds.*

Proof.

- (1) By (2.4) we have for every $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that:

$$\int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} x^m g(x) d\mu(x) \leq \int_0^{2^{k+1}} x^m g(x) d\mu(x) \leq \epsilon (2^{k+1})^{m-\alpha}.$$

For every $k \geq k_0$. Let $k \geq k_0$, we have

$$\int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} x^m g(x) d\mu(x) \leq \epsilon 2^{m-\alpha-k\alpha+mk}.$$

Since

$$2^{km} \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} g(x) d\mu(x) \leq \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} x^m g(x) d\mu(x).$$

We get

$$\int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} g(x) d\mu(x) \leq \epsilon 2^{m-\alpha-k\alpha}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2^k}^{+\infty} g(x) d\mu(x) &\leq \epsilon 2^{m-\alpha} (2^{-\alpha k} + 2^{-\alpha(k+1)} + \dots), \\ &= \epsilon 2^{m-\alpha} \frac{1}{1-2^{-\alpha}} 2^{-\alpha k}, \\ &= C_1 \epsilon 2^{-\alpha k}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$C_1 = \frac{2^{m-\alpha}}{1-2^{-\alpha}}.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, then

$$\int_{2^k}^{+\infty} g(x) d\mu(x) = o((2^k)^{-\alpha}).$$

For $y \rightarrow +\infty$ we have that $y \geq 2^k$, so

$$\int_y^{+\infty} g(x) d\mu(x) \leq \int_{2^k}^{+\infty} g(x) d\mu(x).$$

it follows that

$$\int_y^{+\infty} g(x) d\mu(x) = o(y^{-\alpha}),$$

and

$$g \in L^1(]y; +\infty[, d\mu),$$

for large y .

(2) By (2.5), for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that:

$$\int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} g(x) d\mu(x) \leq \int_{2^{k-1}}^{+\infty} g(x) d\mu(x) \leq \epsilon(2^{-k\alpha}).$$

So:

$$\int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} x^m g(x) d\mu(x) \leq 2^{km} \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} g(x) d\mu(x) \leq \epsilon 2^{k(m-\alpha)}.$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} g(x) d\mu(x) &\leq 2^{km} \int_{2^{k-1}}^{2^k} g(x) d\mu(x), \\ &\leq 2^{km} \epsilon 2^{-\alpha k}, \\ &= \epsilon 2^{k(m-\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{2^{k_0}}^{2^k} x^m g(x) d\mu(x) &\leq \epsilon 2^{(k_0+1)(m-\alpha)} + \dots + \epsilon 2^{(k+1)(m-\alpha)}, \\ &= \epsilon 2^{(k_0+1)(m-\alpha)} \frac{1 - 2^{(m-\alpha)(k-k_0+1)}}{1 - 2^{m-\alpha}}, \\ &= C_2 \epsilon 2^{k(m-\alpha)}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$C_2 = \frac{1 - 2^{(m-\alpha)(k-k_0+1)}}{1 - 2^{m-\alpha}}.$$

On the other hand, by the condition (2.3), we have

$$\int_0^{2^{k_0}} x^m g(x) d\mu(x) \leq C_3 2^{(m-\alpha)k_0}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2^k} x^m g(x) d\mu(x) &= \int_0^{2^{k_0}} x^m g(x) d\mu(x) + \int_{2^{k_0}}^{2^k} x^m g(x) d\mu(x), \\ &\leq C_3 2^{(m-\alpha)k_0} + C_2 2^{(m-k)k} \epsilon \frac{1}{2^\alpha - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

For large k we get:

$$\int_0^{2^k} x^m g(x) d\mu(x) \leq \epsilon \left(C_2 + C_3 2^{(m-k)k} \frac{1}{2^\alpha - 1} \right) 2^{k(m-\alpha)}.$$

For $y \rightarrow +\infty$ we have that $y \geq 2^k$, so

$$\int_0^y x^m g(x) d\mu(x) \leq \epsilon \left(C_2 + C_3 2^{(m-k)k} \frac{1}{2^\alpha - 1} \right) y^{m-\alpha}.$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, then (2.4) holds.

The proof is completed.

■ And here is the second main result of this paper:

Theorem 2.5. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \alpha < 2m$ such that μ is a positive measure.*

(1) *Suppose that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+, d\mu) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^+)$. If*

$$\int_0^y |\lambda|^{2m} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) = o(y^{2m-\alpha}), \quad (2.6)$$

as $y \rightarrow +\infty$. Then $M(f) \in L^1(]y; +\infty[, dv_x(\lambda))$ and $f \in h_\alpha^m$.

(2) *Conversely, suppose that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+, d\mu)$, $M(f) \in L^1(]y; +\infty[, dv_x(\lambda))$. If $f \in h_m^\alpha$ then (2.3) holds.*

Remark 2.6. *From Lemma 2.4 it follows that:*

The statement (2.6) implies

$$\int_y^{+\infty} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) = o(y^{-\alpha}),$$

and

$$\delta_h^m f(x) < +\infty.$$

Proof.

(1) by Remark 2.6 we have

$$\int_y^{+\infty} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) = o(y^{-\alpha}),$$

and

$$M(f) \in L^1(]y; +\infty[, dv_x(\lambda)).$$

We have that:

$$\delta_h^m f(x) = \int_0^{+\infty} \left| \tanh(\pi\sqrt{\lambda}) P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x}) \left(1 + P_{\frac{-1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) + P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) \right)^m M(f)(\lambda) \right| d\lambda.$$

then

$$\delta_h^m f(x) = I_1 + I_2,$$

such that:

$$I_1 = \int_0^{\frac{1}{h}} \left| \tanh(\pi\sqrt{\lambda}) P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x}) \left(1 + P_{\frac{-1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) + P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) \right)^m M(f)(\lambda) \right| d\lambda,$$

and

$$I_2 = \int_{\frac{1}{h}}^{+\infty} \left| \tanh(\pi\sqrt{\lambda}) P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x}) \left(1 + P_{\frac{-1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) + P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) \right)^m M(f)(\lambda) \right| d\lambda.$$

By properties of $P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}$ we have that:

$$I_2 \leq (1 + 2M)^m \int_{\frac{1}{h}}^{+\infty} \left| \tanh(\pi\sqrt{\lambda}) P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{x}) M(f)(\lambda) \right| d\lambda.$$

i.e

$$I_2 \leq (1 + 2M)^m \int_{\frac{1}{h}}^{+\infty} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda).$$

By hypothesis we have

$$\int_0^{\frac{1}{h}} |\lambda|^{2m} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) = o\left(\frac{1}{h^{2m-\alpha}}\right).$$

By Lemma 2.4 we get:

$$\int_{\frac{1}{h}}^{+\infty} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) = o(h^\alpha).$$

To estimate I_1 , set

$$\phi(x) = \int_x^\infty |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda).$$

We have that

$$\int_0^x \lambda \phi'(\lambda) d\lambda \leq x \int_0^x \phi'(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

So:

$$\int_0^x \lambda \phi'(\lambda) d\lambda \leq x(\phi(x) - \phi(0)).$$

It follows that:

$$\int_0^x \lambda \phi'(\lambda) d\lambda \leq -x \int_0^x |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda).$$

Then:

$$\int_0^x |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) \leq \frac{-1}{x} \int_0^x \lambda \phi'(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

Upon an integration by parts, we obtain:

$$\int_0^x \lambda \phi'(\lambda) dv\lambda = x\phi(x) - \int_0^x \phi(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

So:

$$\frac{-1}{x} \int_0^x \lambda \phi'(\lambda) d\lambda = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \phi(\lambda) d\lambda - \phi(x).$$

Then

$$\frac{-1}{x} \int_0^x \lambda \phi'(\lambda) d\lambda \leq \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \phi(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

So if $x \rightarrow +\infty$

$$\frac{-1}{x} \int_0^x \lambda \phi'(\lambda) d\lambda \leq \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x o(\lambda^{-\alpha}) d\lambda = o(x^{-\alpha}).$$

Then

$$\int_0^x |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) = o(x^{-\alpha}).$$

So

$$\int_0^{\frac{1}{h}} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) = o(h^\alpha).$$

It follows that

$$I_1 = o(h^\alpha).$$

Then

$$\delta_h^m f(x) = o(h^\alpha) + o(h^\alpha).$$

It follows that

$$\delta_h^m f(x) = o(h^\alpha).$$

Which show that

$$f \in h_\alpha^m.$$

(2) We have that:

$$\int_0^{\frac{\beta}{h}} |\lambda|^{2m} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{h}\right)^{2m} \int_0^{\frac{\beta}{h}} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda).$$

So

$$\int_0^{\frac{\beta}{h}} |\lambda|^{2m} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{h}\right)^{2m} \int_0^{+\infty} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda).$$

Since

$$1 \leq \left(1 + P_{\frac{-1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) + P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h})\right)^m.$$

Then

$$\int_0^{\frac{\beta}{h}} |\lambda|^{2m} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{h}\right)^{2m} \int_0^{+\infty} \left(1 + P_{\frac{-1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h}) + P_{i\sqrt{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}}(2\sqrt{h})\right)^m |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda).$$

Since $f \in h_\alpha^m$, so

$$\int_0^{\frac{\beta}{h}} |\lambda|^{2m} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) = \left(\frac{\beta}{h}\right)^{2m} o(h^\alpha).$$

Hence, for $y = \frac{\beta}{h}$, we get:

$$\int_0^y |\lambda|^{2m} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) = o\left(\left(\frac{\beta}{y}\right)^{\alpha-2m}\right).$$

So:

$$\int_0^y |\lambda|^{2m} |M(f)(\lambda)| dv_x(\lambda) = o(y^{2m-\alpha}).$$

Thus, (2.3) is holds.

■

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to thank the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions.

Competing interests: The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.M.R. Méndez Pérez, M.M. Socas Robayna, A pair of generalized Hankel-Clifford transformations and their applications, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 154 (1991), 543–557.
- [2] A. Prasad, S. Kumar, Hankel-Clifford transformations on some ultradifferentiable function spaces and pseudo-differential operators, *J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl.* 4 (2013), 551–567.
- [3] A. Prasad, V.K. Singh, Pseudo-differential operators associated to a pair of Hankel-Clifford transformations on certain Beurling type function spaces, *Asian-Eur. J. Math.* 6 (2013), 1350039.
- [4] A. Prasad, S.K. Verma, The Mehler-Fock-Clifford transform and pseudo-differential operator on function spaces, *Filomat* 33 (2019), 2457–2469.
- [5] P.P. Banerjee, G. Nehmetallah, M.R. Chatterjee, Numerical modeling of cylindrically symmetric nonlinear self-focusing using an adaptive fast Hankel split-step method, *Opt. Commun.* 249 (2005), 293–300.
- [6] F. Móricz, Absolutely convergent Fourier integrals and classical function spaces, *Arch. Math.* 91 (2008), 49–62.
- [7] F. Móricz, Higher order Lipschitz classes of functions and absolutely convergent Fourier series, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 120 (2008), 355–366.
- [8] S. Tikhonov, Smoothness conditions and Fourier series, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* 10 (2007), 229–242.
- [9] S. Tikhonov, On generalized Lipschitz classes and Fourier series, *Z. Anal. Anwend.* 23 (2004), 745–764.
- [10] E.M. Loualid, A. Elgargati, E.M. Berkak, R. Daher, Boas-type theorems for the Bessel transform, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat.* 115 (2021), 141.
- [11] E.M. Berkak, E.M. Loualid, R. Daher, Boas-type theorems for the q -Bessel Fourier transform, *Anal. Math. Phys.* 11 (2021), 102.